

THE TECH

Vol. XXVII. No. 79

BOSTON, MASS., WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1908

PRICE THREE CENTS

MANY COMPLAINTS ON TECH SHOW MANAGEMENT.

MISMANAGEMENT ALLEGED.

Lack of Co-operation Charged and Business Department Criticised.

Many allegations and complaints have been made this year of the inefficiency and poor work of the present Tech Show management. These complaints come from every quarter, from within the management, from members of the instructing staff, and from the students. It is hard to trace out the true complaints, but there seems to be a number of allegations worthy of notice.

The most striking characteristic of the show management, it is said by those who know anything of its workings this year, has been the inharmonious feeling existing between the various departments and the lack of unity of purpose and feeling between the different managers.

The root of the whole evil has been pointed out by various persons who know of the development of the present management as lying in the method of appointment and general policy of the last general manager, Alexander Macomber 1907. It is strongly alleged in various quarters that discrimination strongly ruled the appointments by him of several of the assistant managers.

Just how much truth there is in these allegations is hard to find. On the word of several persons who had to do with the competition for the management last year, among those persons the present general manager, it seems evident that there was not the proper effort to bring out a large number of competitors for the various positions. In the competition for the position of assistant stage manager, it is alleged by one of the candidates that there was discrimination in favor of a certain individual intimately connected with the general manager; not an open discrimination but an offering of a greater opportunity to the favored candidate, leaving the less favored with little chance to show his worth.

Over the appointments last year there was considerable feeling aroused, and many ugly things were said in regard to fraternity politics. Toward the end of the year it was hinted that Harold MacCreadie 1908 was to receive the appointment to the general management. Macomber, finding MacCreadie fearful over the outcome of his school work, held the power and position of general manager until last fall. During the summer Macomber greatly retarded, it is alleged by MacCreadie, the paying up of bills and the general settling of accounts, by mixing up the bank deposits in some unknown fashion.

MacCreadie was unable to take the head position this fall and a peculiar condition arose. It is stated by some that Macomber was bound by the feeling of discrimination that had been aroused the previous year and was forced to appoint F. A. Dewey from a minor position on the advertising department, and pass over an older man, G. A. Joslin, Macomber's fraternity brother. Others allege that MacCreadie withdrew in order to force Macomber to appoint Dewey.

Dewey came into office inexperienced having been connected with the organization for a short period only. According to his own statement he knew little of the work in hand. The first move Dewey made was to attempt to remove C. C. Webb 1910, the business manager. The charges brought against Webb were irregularities in accounts, improper loans, and general inefficiency. It is not known what definite action was taken by the advisory committee, but Webb continued in office.

From this time, it is said by various managers connected with the show, the management worked with less and less harmony. The general manager claims

(Continued on page 3.)

BROWN ATHLETES READY FOR TECHNOLOGY MEET.

HARD CONTEST ASSURED.

Chances Seem to Favor the Providence Team.

Brown University and Technology clash in their annual track and field meet on this coming Saturday, May 9. The contest will be held on Technology Field, Brookline.

Last week Brown defeated Williams by the score of 64 to 62, and Technology defeated Maine by the score of 87 1-2 to 38 1-2. The Maine team, however, was very weak and the scores afford no possibility for comparison.

As regards events in the two meets, two, the pole vault and high jump allow of no comparison, Brown offering no competition in the high jump and Maine none in the pole. In the remaining contests in the two meets, the performances made in the Brown-Williams meet excel those made in the Boston meet in eight instances. In four events the reverse is the case.

From this mode of reasoning and also judging from the comparatively poor condition of the Technology team it would seem that every indication pointed to a victory for the Providence athletes. Last year they won the games largely through the work of Mayhew, and judging from the fact that he rolled up 18 1-2 points for his team in the last meet it would seem as though he were still in good shape to show some speed to the Technology runners.

The entries for the meet are as follows:

TECHNOLOGY ENTRIES.

100-yard dash.—C. W. Gram, W. J. Seligman, J. H. Ruckman, K. D. Fernstrom, W. C. Salisbury, H. W. Blackburn.

220-yard dash.—C. W. Gram, W. J. Seligman, W. C. Salisbury, H. W. Blackburn, K. D. Fernstrom, J. H. Ruckman.

440-yard dash.—H. W. Blackburn, W. C. Salisbury, L. A. Loomis, H. Lockett, P. Hart, G. B. Cummings.

880-yard run.—B. L. Gimson, P. D. White, H. Lockett, P. Hart, G. H. Magee, S. M. Niles.

One-mile run.—L. O. Mills, W. T. MacCreadie, J. D. Mackenzie, J. F. McCarthy, C. P. Eldred, S. M. Niles.

Two-mile run.—H. H. Howland, J. F. McCarthy, C. P. Eldred, J. N. Stephenson, J. D. Mackenzie, S. M. Niles.

120-yard hurdles.—F. F. Bell, K. D. Fernstrom, G. B. Cummings, J. B. Walcott.

220-yard hurdles.—K. D. Fernstrom, G. B. Cummings, C. W. Gram, C. P. Kerr, F. D. Stewart.

High jump.—R. H. Allen, H. A. Rapelye, E. Stuart, A. R. Nagle.

Broad jump.—G. Schobinger, J. A. Christie, T. W. Orr, H. E. Whitaker.

Pole vault.—T. W. Orr, G. Schobinger, W. D. Allen, W. C. Salisbury.

Shot put.—F. Moore, W. Morrison, M. E. Allen, F. J. Friedman, M. Flagg, M. R. Scharff.

Hammer throw.—W. Morrison, M. Flagg, M. R. Scharff, F. Moore, F. A. Burton.

Discus throw.—L. D. Nisbet, M. E. Allen, W. Morrison, R. H. Nichols, M. Flagg, M. R. Scharff.

BROWN ENTRIES.

100-yard dash.—J. R. Honiss, J. P. Hartigan, J. W. Mayhew, F. V. Young, S. T. Jarvis, J. R. McKay.

220-yard dash.—J. R. Honiss, J. P. Hartigan, J. W. Mayhew, F. V. Young, S. T. Jarvis, D. S. Babcock.

440-yard dash.—J. P. Hartigan, F. V. Young, J. R. Honiss, R. W. Burgess, C. C. Hubbard, D. S. Babcock.

880-yard dash.—J. H. Wells, F. V. Young, M. B. Hunt, W. W. Greene, C. C. Hubbard, R. W. Burgess.

One-mile run.—J. H. Wells, W. W. Greene, K. Mercer, M. B. Hunt, W. P. Buffum, Jr., F. I. Chichester.

Two-mile run.—W. W. Greene, M. B. Hunt, J. H. Wells, F. I. Chichester, W. P. Buffum, Jr., K. Mercer.

120-yard hurdles.—J. W. Mayhew, F.

(Continued on page 2.)

SOPHOMORES TO PLAY FRESHMEN TOMORROW.

FIRST GAME OF SERIES.

Close Game Expected But Luck May Help 1911.

The first game of the annual Freshman-Sophomore baseball series will be played at the Field tomorrow afternoon at 3.30.

A close game is expected, and both sides have a large following of enthusiastic supporters. This baseball series constitutes the last customary contest between the classes of 1910 and 1911. The only team with which both the freshmen and sophomores have played is Stone School. Both the Tech teams were victorious, the former by a score of 17 to 13, the latter by a score of 12 to 7.

Billings has been elected captain of the sophomore team.

Despite Dillon's star pitching the sophomores again met defeat at the Field Monday afternoon. The Tufts sophomores, after an exciting seven inning game, were the victors, by a score of 11 to 9. The loss of Captain O'Hearn was made evident in each inning of play, and without him the outlook of the team is dark indeed.

Score by innings:

Innings	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Tech 1910	0	0	5	0	0	1	3—9
Tufts 1910	0	0	0	1	0	5	0—11

Runs made by Dillon 3, Waller 2, Billings, Harrison, C. Jones, Everett, Jones 2, Smith 2, Hulen 2, Blagbrough 2, Sheehan, Gray, Sheehy. Three base hits—Waller, Jones. Struck out—by Dillon 6, by Jones 7. Hit by pitched ball—Everett. Umpires—Nesbit, Hildebrand.

BUSINESS STAFF CHOSEN TO RUN 1910 TECHNIQUE.

LONG DEADLOCK OCCURS.

Webb, Reynolds and Creecy the Men Selected by Committee.

At the meeting of the Technique Electoral Committee the three members of the business staff to serve on the board next year were elected. Curtis Christopher Webb, Bergen Reynolds, and Charles Eaton Creecy were the men picked out.

After the election of the first two men named a long deadlock ensued between two of the candidates, Creecy and F. D. Stewart. The voting went first one way and then the other. Finally a test ballot was taken to effect a compromise and on it Creecy received a majority. On the next regular ballot he received the seventeen votes necessary for election.

The following men were candidates for positions on the staff: C. C. Webb, B. Reynolds, C. E. Creecy, G. S. Emerson, W. J. O'Hearn, H. D. Billings, A. A. Gould, and F. D. Stewart.

Six men were offered nominations but declined to run. They were J. Avery, Jr., R. I. Goodwin, Jr., R. S. Bicknell, W. H. Duffield, F. F. Bell, and T. W. Saul.

There are now seven men who have already been elected to the 1910 Technique Board.

A competition for positions on the art staff was declared open by the committee. It will start at once.

CATHOLICS ELECT OFFICERS.

The Catholic Club attended communion in a body Sunday at the Cathedral. The following officers were elected for next year. President, F. M. Heidleburg 1909; vice-president, J. F. McCarthy 1909; secretary-treasurer, P. D. White 1911; executive committee, J. E. Lenox 1909, N. J. Keefe 1910, C. A. Mcquire 1911.

ENGINEERS WILL HAVE TO STOP LABOR TROUBLES.

SITUATION GROWING WORSE.

John F. Stevens Gives Good Advice to Civil Engineers.

That the engineers would have to relieve the situation in the conflict between capital and labor, a situation which was now bad and growing worse, was the warning sounded by John F. Stevens, former chief engineer of the Panama Canal, before the annual dinner of the Civil Engineering Society Monday evening at the American House. Mr. Stevens said that at present capital was cowed. The hope for solution of the problem lay in the hands of the future engineers who would have to represent capital in their work, but at the same time must represent labor.

In regard to labor, Mr. Stevens said, "Our scientific schools are doing a great work, teaching essentials, training brains, in fact everything is taught except man. Graduates are as innocent as babes when it comes to handling men."

Mr. Stevens's advice to young engineers was "not to be impatient, to take things slowly so as to get all the details from bottom to top, never to ask impossibilities, to give orders clearly and explicitly, always to be ready to learn from even the humblest, and to give the worker credit for his ideas."

On what was expected of an engineer today Mr. Stevens spoke of Archimedes, who said of the lever that if he had the place for the fulcrum he could move the earth, as not up to date. The modern engineer would say, "Put up the money and I will move the world." Formerly it was said that railroads could be built anywhere a mule could go. Now roads are put through where the surveying is done two miles from the lines, the surveyor not being able to pass over the route.

Frank B. Gilbreth, a noted contractor, gave an account of the endeavors of Fred Taylor, an expert on the subject, on the settlement of the labor problem. By experiment he found out that for fifteen to forty per cent of the time a man was not actually at work. This lost time was spent in going from one place to another. To counteract this he has contrived devices and expedients by which the element of distance is practically eliminated.

In using Mr. Taylor's methods, Mr. Gilbreth pays sixty per cent extra to make the men work at top speed. By these methods an actual increase of four hundred percent has been made. Mr. Gilbreth thinks that on this principal hangs the ultimate solution of the labor problem. He advised the establishing of a course in the Institute on the laws of management.

Desmond Fitz-Gerald, member of the Corporation, started out by refuting the statement that Tech men are big-headed. As his theme he took up the first real civil engineering attempted in the country. As advice he urged the men to speed up in everything except thinking. Also, if one has the misfortune to get dishonest employers, he should never forget true engineering ideals and get out from under as soon as possible.

Prof. Swain urged the importance of continual contact with the members of the profession, particularly by joining the American Society of Civil Engineers. At the present time, the Institute is way ahead of the other colleges in regard to the number of members.

John T. Tobin acted as toast master.

HAS SALARIED EDITOR.

Isaac W. Litchfield 1895 has been appointed editor of the Technology Review with a regular salary. Hereafter the Review will be sent to all the members of the alumni association of the Institute.

Mr. Litchfield was one of the founders of The Tech and at one time was its editor-in-chief.

He has also been appointed publicity agent of the Institute to take care of all news regarding the work being carried on.