

financial basis and possibly join with Field Day and Tech Show in earning money for the Track Team. '06.

To the Editors of the Tech:

GENTLEMEN: In your last issue you had an editorial on the Electoral System adopted by the Class of 1906. Laying aside the question as to whether it was either expedient or justifiable to assume that the system was planned to allow underhand electioneering, I take the liberty of pointing out one of your arguments which is perhaps inaccurate. You said that by voting on the class as a whole there was not so much chance of a clique running in a supposedly undesirable man as when there should be say twenty-five men chosen from a ticket of seventy-five. You based this on the fact that by the old method a man could be elected even by forty votes where as now many more are required. If this is true would not it be more difficult for a clique — which by every definition must be small to elect its man?

"On paper" the new method has more in its favor than the old and as it has not yet been proved to be designed for dishonorable ends, it seems premature to denounce, it and so the class that adopted it, as emphatically as was done in your last issue.

Sincerely yours,

A MEMBER OF THE CLASS OF 1906.

Fencing.

The Tech fencing team went down to defeat before Harvard's team last Saturday evening at the Tech Gym. The score as in the previous match was 5 to 4. The match, though very interesting and most exciting at times, was a great disappointment from a Tech standpoint. Those who were present at the last match, and who saw how difficult it was for Harvard to win on that occasion, confidently expected a Tech victory in the second match.

Both teams however, fenced well, and showed great improvement since their last appearance. Harvard's team was composed of the same men who took part in the last match, while two new men were found on the Tech team. These men

were Gatewood, Capt. of last year's Annapolis team and Fogarty, also of Annapolis.

Capt. Lage of M. I. T. was easily the star of the evening. So quick were his thrusts and parries, that not a single man on the opposing team was able to follow his foil. His bout with Tyng was by far the most exciting of the evening, and will not soon be forgotten.

SUMMARY:

HARVARD.	M. I. T.
Holmes (Capt.)	Lage (Capt.)
McLeod	Gatewood
Tyng	Fogarty

Bouts: Tyng won from Fogarty; Lage from McLeod; Holmes from Fogarty; Gatewood from McLeod; Lage from Tyng; McLeod from Fogarty; Holmes from Gatewood; Tyng from Fogarty; Lage from Holmes.

Officials: Referee, Cushing, B. A. A. Judges: Parker (Harvard), Henry (M. I. T.).

Basket Ball: Tech vs. Brown.

Tech defeated Brown last Wednesday night at Basket Ball in Providence by a score of 24 to 22. The game was fast, Brown leading by six points at the end of the first half. The playing was rough and five fouls were made by Ingalls of Brown. Blake's throwing was one of the features of the game.

THE SUMMARY.

TECH.	BROWN.
Capt. Blake, l. f.	r. b., Capt. Ahrens Schwartz
Mathieson, r. f.	l. b., Ingalls
Burleigh, c.	c., Leland
Ager, l. b.	r. f., Rackle
Wiggins, r. b.	l. f., DeWolf

Goals from floor — Rackle 5, Blake, 4, DeWolf 3, Mathieson 2, Wiggins 2, Burleigh, Ager. Goals on fouls — Ingalls 5, Mathieson 3, Blake. Awarded by Referee to Brown 1. Referee — Schwinn of Brown. Umpire — Briggs of M. I. T. Time — 20 minute halves.

It is rumored that a fencing meet has been arranged between M. I. T., Yale and Harvard.