

1905 Class, when in its Freshmen year it voted disapproval of the yell, and the fact that the "We are happy" is used five times to every once of the M. I. T., show plainly enough the trend of popular sentiment. These strong facts were the main argument of the negative.

The affirmative stated that the yell lessens the dignity of the college. While it is true that the dignity of a crowd of men, jubilant over some victory or returning from Field Day, is not very evident, there *is* a dignity belonging to the Institute which it is the duty and should be the pleasure of every undergraduate to preserve, even though he dispenses with his own on occasion. To give any yell may be considered an undignified action, but that one yell may be more impressive than another is obvious, and as we surely desire a yell which correctly expresses our spirit, something more mature than the "We are happy" yell should be adopted.

Other strong points for the affirmative not easily refuted and well worth consideration, are, that only third rate colleges indulge in similar yells, even the smaller New England colleges avoiding them; that the yell exemplifies the ideas of college life of the cheap non-collegiate sport; and that numbers of loyal Tech men are heartily ashamed of the yell and this last not because of the word "hell." If one considers for a moment it is evident that the yell can signify to an outsider nothing else than that Tech men consider themselves very wicked college boys, or that they sincerely hate Technology, either of which suppositions is equally erroneous and stupid.

By far the most vivid objection and one which from its unpleasant truth should cause every Tech man to blush with shame was embodied in a simple remark: "Put this 'We are happy' yell in the mouths of three thousand Harvard men at the Yale game. Is it possible to imagine Harvard men giving this yell?" If a Harvard man's estimation of his university is too high for him to deliver a similar yell in public, how much less should we lower the dignity of the good old Institute by causing the abominable impression which "We are happy" produces. If comparisons are odious, they are too often indispensable in opening people's eyes. Are we willing to support longer a type of yell which men whom we believe ourselves to equal, would disdain to use? How much longer shall we insult our college by yelling that "Tech is hell?"

Calendar.

THURSDAY, DEC. 31.

7.15 P.M. SENIOR CLASS WATCH. Seniors meet at Rogers to go to Keith's, and to watch the Old Year out on Rogers steps.

8.00 P.M. SOCIETY OF ARTS MEETING in Walker Building. Mr. Charles Garrison, of the De Laval Steam Turbine Company, will address the Society on "Steam Turbines." Students invited.

FRIDAY, JAN. 1.

4.00 P.M. MANDOLIN CLUB REHEARSAL in Rogers, 33.

SATURDAY, JAN. 2.

6.00 P.M. REGULAR INFORMAL DINNER at the Union. Tickets twenty-five cents, from Mr. Powers. Be sure to get a ticket beforehand.

MONDAY, JAN. 4.

4.15 P.M. MEETING CIVIL ENGINEERING SOCIETY in Room 11, Engineering B. Mr. F. H. Snow will speak on "Sewage Disposal."

TUESDAY, JAN. 5.

4.00 P.M. MANDOLIN CLUB REHEARSAL in Rogers, 33.

WEDNESDAY, JAN. 6.

2.00 P.M. COURSE IN ARGUMENTATION. Debate in 6 Lowell on the question: "Resolved, that the removal of the Institute to a site in the suburbs will be of advantage to the students."

4.15 P.M. TRIALS FOR INDOOR MEET at Gymnasium in High Jump, Shot-put and Pole-vault. Six men qualify.

THURSDAY, JAN. 7.

7.30 P.M. ANNUAL INDOOR ATHLETIC MEET at Gymnasium. Six events and Class relay races. Admission, twenty-five cents.

FRIDAY, JAN. 8.

8.00 P.M. CHESS TEAM vs. BOSTON CHESS CLUB, Metropolitan League Match at rooms of the Boston Chess Club.