

NAC/TDA defies Nyhart

REFUSES TO LEAVE

MIT target for militants,
claims administrator;
patience now thin

By Bruce Schwartz

A confused scenario was played out on two floors of the Student Center Tuesday night. It featured confrontation between members of the MIT administration, radical leaders and the executive committee of the General Assembly.

The miniature crisis centered about the use of the Sala by a citywide radical organization presently going under the title NAC/TDA. Its membership consists primarily of participants in these earlier groups. The MIT administration, its patience beginning to show signs of wearing thin, learned of the unauthorized presence of the group in the Student Center and attempted to get them to leave. Their efforts were frustrated by the non-compliance of the radicals and the non-cooperation of the General Assembly, which was also meeting on the second floor. This, approximately, is what happened:

Albert's role

The General Assembly had been scheduled to hold its regular Tuesday night meeting in the Sala at 8 pm. Before that meeting got under way, however, former UAP Mike Albert approached UAP Wells Edleman and requested use of the



The General Assembly shifted its Tuesday night meeting to another location so the NAC/TDA led coalition could meet in the Sala.

Sala for NAC/TDA, claiming that NAC/TDA had reserved the second floor meeting room. Albert claimed that this room would be too small for the radical conference, which had been called to plan strategy and tactics for demonstrations that will take place on April 14 and 15.

Noting that the GA could easily fit into the meeting room, Albert suggested a trade: the meeting room for the Sala.

NAC/TDA had not in fact reserved the meeting room, nor any other. They had requested space from the Student Center (Please turn to page 3)

Law jeopardizes aid funds

By Bruce Schwartz

MIT may lose over \$100,000 in aid funds if it refuses to supply the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with names, addresses and "pertinent facts" of all Pennsylvania students involved in disruptive demonstrations or similar activity.

Last month, a letter dated March 2 was received by colleges throughout the country. It was from the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency, which dispenses over 50 million dollars in scholarships and loans to Pennsylvania students nationwide.

Contract

The missive contained an agreement contract which stipulates the requirements included in an amendment to the Agency's appropriation bill, passed by the Pennsylvania legislature last December, for institutions that wish to participate in the state's aid program.

Such institutions must provide to Pennsylvania information on any Pennsylvania student who is convicted of a criminal offense, or of disturbing the orderly function of the university, as adjudged by a court or by the university itself.

Forfeit

Universities that refuse to sign the contract will forfeit all aid to their students from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Over 700 colleges have so far signed the agreement; only a handful have not.

Radcliffe has refused to sign as of March 25.

(Please turn to page 6)

Coed history
The quota system for women has been but one facet of the long history of female undergraduates at MIT. The first woman was admitted in the late 1800's; since then, they have numbered between two and seven percent of the total enrollment. By and large, though, their years here were plagued with problems. Only 36% of the women in the class of 1960, for example, were granted a degree.

Wick pointed to both the environment here and the prevailing attitude as factors responsible for women's weak showing. "Before 1960 women entered MIT at their own risk. If (Please turn to page 5)

Conference considering plight of Black Africa

A conference labeled "The Crisis in Southern Africa" opened yesterday to consider the plight of Blacks in the white-dominated areas of the African Continent.

The Conference continues this morning at 10:00 with a discussion of "Black Americans, Black Africans, and International Black Liberation." Five workshops will be held this afternoon.

Perspectives

Yesterday's talks opened with "Perspectives on Southern Africa." Members of the panel included Arthur Vilankulu, President of the National Union of Mozambican Students; Margaret Marshall, former president of the National Union of South African Students; Hidipo L. Hamutenya, South West African Peoples Organization; and Christopher Nteta, South African, Harvard Divinity School.

The Conference has attracted participants and observers from the Northeast and across the country. Several groups dedicated to the establishment of Black rule in Southern Africa have joined to develop an extensive program of inquiry. A complete transcript of the pro-

ceedings will be released early next week to reach those unable to attend.

Rhodesia

Rhodesia was one of the newly-created countries cited for its oppression of the Blacks. A pamphlet circulated among the participants noted that a recent referendum gave the "sizable African majority token representation, carefully controlled. It establishes a police state. And it legalizes discrimination against Africans, moving toward apartheid."

Two Black Nationalist parties are organizing an armed struggle against the white regime. The United Nations has established economic sanctions against Rhodesia, but nearby white-controlled states and, the leaflet charged, the Portuguese government and "international allies" help Ian Smith's republic circumvent the international regulations.

Portugal

Portugal's role in Africa also came up for special attention. The European country controls three colonies with combined African population of 10½ million. One commentator has charged that "The Portuguese presence in South Africa today is still characterized by ignorance, repression, and a careless exploitation of the African people, and in purely human terms the lessons of the past offer little hope for the future."

The lobby experiment in building seven will succeed only if you help. Anyone with ideas to contribute or time to work should stop by in the afternoon or the evening.

McCarthy here April 15

By Duff McRoberts
and Jim Powell

US Senator Eugene McCarthy and Georgia legislator Julian Bond will visit downtown Boston next week for the focal activities of the April 15 antiwar demonstrations reiterating last fall's message of "End the War Now."

However, in contrast to the autumn protest, several different leftist groups are forming plans for separate actions. The Student Mobilization Committee spearheaded the organization of the Wednesday rally, while the November Action Coalition may join other area militant groups in a demonstration in the streets of Cambridge.

Mass rally

Highlighting next Wednesday's expressions of dissent will be a mass rally, from 4 pm to 6 pm, either at the Boston Common or at Government Center. The rally will be led by a "March for Peace" along Commonwealth Avenue similar to last October's.

McCarthy, Bond, and several representatives of antiwar groups will speak at the downtown protest, organized locally by the "April 15 Coalition" of all the



Members of the November Action Coalition and The Day After joined other area militants to plan for April 14 and 15.

peace organizations in the area. The cast of *Hair* will briefly entertain at the rally. Students and faculty members from area schools and colleges are expected to make up a major portion of those present.

MIT demonstration

Activities at MIT Wednesday afternoon will merge with the area-wide demonstration at 2:30

when the feeder march from Cambridge Common is expected to pass MIT on the way to Boston, with participants from this campus joining the march at that point. The march will be preceded by a rally in front of the Student Center at 1 pm.

So far, classes have not been officially cancelled for the day: (Please turn to page 3)

Increased drug abuse may cause MIT 'bust'

Recent drug raids by police at Tufts University and a general rise in drug traffic in the Boston area have disturbed the MIT administration.

They fear not only that drug abuse may be a health problem among students here, but that the MIT campus's legendary immunity to "busts" may soon become a thing of the past.

The immunity is just that: legendary, according to Vice-President Kenneth R. Wadleigh,

former Dean for Student Affairs. A discussion Tuesday night of NAC/TDA's presence in the Student Center turned to other matters as Wadleigh hastened to explain that "MIT has no immunity to drug raids. Many students have somehow come to believe that we have some sort of 'deal' with the police that keeps them from coming on campus. There is no such 'deal' and there never has been."

Wadleigh said he may have

been guilty of abetting the myth in his "nuts and bolts talks" over the years. He further explained that concerning alcohol MIT had no deals either. Rather, he said, "experience over a period of years has taught us just what responsible law enforcement considers tolerable. We have no such body of experience concerning drugs." To date, no MIT dormitory or fraternity has been raided, which may indicate that the police have been willing to adopt a hands off policy. However, individual students have been arrested for possession of marijuana and other drugs, such as LSD. In such cases, Nyhart said, the Institute has no power to "get you off" any more than

it can keep the police off campus if they want to raid. All that can be done is to refer an arrested student to a lawyer through the MIT law firm.

MIT will not aid police

Both administrators stated that the administration would not co-operate in the investigation and arrests of its students as the Tufts administration did, but has no power to prevent "busts." As drug traffic has been rising in Cambridge with the source of drugs generally the college campuses, it is conceivable that a crackdown from the police may be in the offing.

The level of drug use by MIT students is at present unknown, though a survey last year showed that about a third had used marijuana at least once. Little else is known; there are rumors that heroin is being used. LSD and amphetamines are present, but no one is sure of the extent. As in many parts of the country these days, marijuana is tacitly accepted if not approved. The problem with grass is a legal one, as far as the administration is concerned.

Health problems of drugs are of more pressing concern. The administration, having no idea of the dimensions of drug use and/or abuse by MIT students, plans to begin a study shortly to determine policy in this area. "Drugs on campus" was to be the subject of an informal get together with Dean Nyhart in Baker Dining hall last night.

(In the near future, The Tech plans to start a series on drug abuse at MIT)

NADER TO MEET GM DELEGATES IN AUTO DEBATE

By Bruce Schwartz

General Motors, Ralph Nader and the Project on Corporate Responsibility will engage in verbal jousting before the Corporation Joint Advisory Committee next Monday.

At issue will be the manner in which MIT votes its approximately 290,000 shares of GM stock at a shareholders meeting in Detroit May 22. The Project is seeking support for nine "public interest" proposals it will place on the floor of the stockholders meeting. These proposals would, as reported in The Tech last Tuesday, require GM to make efforts to build safer, cleaner cars; to increase the number of nonwhite dealerships, and to make several changes in its corporate structure that would in the Project's opinion render GM more "responsible" to the public interest.

General Motors has resisted the Project's attempts to bring about a proxy fight. Here at MIT, a group of students attempted last month to get CJAC to advise the Corporation to vote its stock for the Project's proposals. CJAC appointed a subcommittee to study the matter. The General Assembly, meanwhile, appointed a task force to do the same. The task force issued its report Monday, urging that MIT vote yes on five

(Please turn to page 6)

40 enter low-pollution race

By Lee Giguere

With propulsion systems ranging from storage batteries to liquid propane and perhaps even a liquid hydrogen-liquid oxygen system, the 1970 intercollegiate Clean Air Car Race already has at least 40 entries and the organizational committee has received over one hundred names on its mailing list.

According to Professor of Electrical Engineering Richard Thornton, the entries range in technical art from amateur to professional.

The starting date is set for either Sunday, August 23 or Monday, August 24 and will end five days later. The race was originally conceived by Professor Thornton of MIT and Professor of Engineering Jerome Shapiro of Caltech. Thornton served as advisor to the team that entered the Great Electric Car race against Caltech two years ago.

Surprising response

A second race is being organized by Wally Rappel of Cornell who was a member of the Caltech team two years ago. His plans call for a non-stop race. Thornton said that he had "tossed the idea around with different people," and had expected "half-a-dozen" entries. Up till then, planning had been conducted by the mutual agreement of the participants. As the number of entries grew, Thornton added, the rules became more formalized, and a student committee, headed by Robert McGregor, was set up to organize the competition.

The new committee, composed of students who are not associated with any of the entrants, has stated four objectives for the race. 1) "to assess the state of vehicle technology throughout the nation," 2) "to

determine pollution emission characteristics for all conventional and evolutionary propulsion systems for the different stages in each vehicle's driving cycle." 3) "to publish technical reports and data on vehicle technology and pollution emission characteristics," 4) "to create public awareness of the nation's current progress in vehicle technological development."

Three-part competition

The competition will consist of three parts, "vehicle pollution emission rating, vehicle performance test, the cross-country. Measurements will be made in Cambridge and Pasadena and at 'designated points along the race route." Hydrocarbons, nitrogen oxides, lead compounds, carbon monoxide, sulfur oxides, particulate matter, ozone and sulfuric acid are the specific irritants to be tested for. Vehicle performance will be determined on the basis of acceleration, braking, safety, cruising speed and traveling radius, handling and noise emission.

The race itself will be divided into six legs of about 600 miles each. Scoring will be based on a comparison of the elapsed time for the vehicle with "normalized" time for the leg, with points to be taken off for finishing too soon or too late.

Each car is to be accompanied by an observer appointed by the organizational committee, who will be responsible for assuring that all the rules are followed and will assess penalty points.

California standards

The race is open to all types of vehicles, but the committee is discouraging motorcycle entries.

Entrants must meet the 1975 California standards for acceptable levels of pollutant emission as well as state and federal safety requirements.

The cars must be driven by teams of students, either in college or high school, although the car may be built with the assistance from a corporation. In fact, according to Steve McGregor, a member of the race committee, entrants have been asked to try to get local financial support. He added that GM has been very cooperative with the committee. His brother, Bob McGregor, has stated that one Japanese company has expressed interest in the race.

Arouse interest

Since a large part of the race's purpose is to arouse public interest in anti-pollution research, the committee is placing a large emphasis on public relation. During the race, the cars will be asked to stop along the route to be viewed by local groups as will be the case at the end of each day's driving. Plans for the route are not yet completed, but the route will probably pass through the towns from which the entries have come. The Organizational Committee is also arranging for a "state-of-the-art" seminar to be held the week before the beginning of the race.

Professor Thornton is still working with the group from the Number Six Club who entered the race two years ago. He said that they have continued to develop their car as a research vehicle, and have it well instrumented. He added that one of the purposes of the race is to collect scientific data.

MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE MAJORS

While the economy is generally in a down mode — employment is hard to find — layoffs are rampant — inflation is up — everyone's a bit depressed

THERE IS A BRIGHT SIDE for first rate COMPUTER SPECIALISTS

- * Information Retrieval and Database development
- * Computer software systems design
- * Operating Systems development

Prefer

- career oriented candidates
- appropriate graduate degree
- some applications experience

Please contact:

R.H. Williams
Arthur D. Little, Inc.
20 Acorn Park
Cambridge, Mass. 02140

Equal Opportunity Employer

JUNE GRADS

Come Where The
Jobs Are!

Free Consultation
Hundreds of current openings
for MEN and WOMEN
so apply now!

- Sales Trainees
- Management
- Salesmen
- Retailing
- Trainees
- Administrative
- Engineering
- Technical
- Clerical
- Office

Snelling & Snelling
EMPLOYMENT COUNSELLORS

World's Largest Professional
Employment Service

500 Boylston St., Boston, Mass.
1073 Hancock St., Quincy, Mass.
14 Central Avenue, Lynn, Mass.
300 Essex St., Lawrence, Mass.
55 Moody St., Waltham, Mass.

400 offices coast to coast
An Equal Opportunity
Employment Service

COMING TO BOSTON GARDEN FRIDAY, APRIL 17 - 8 P.M.

68/WRKO

PRESENTS

BLOOD, SWEAT AND TEARS

AND OTHER SUPPORTING ACTS

SEATS NOW ON SALE

MAIL ORDERS ACCEPTED NOW!

\$6.00 - \$5.00 - \$4.00 - \$3.00
BOSTON GARDEN BOX OFFICE OPENS MARCH 30th!
TICKETS WILL ALSO BE AT REMICK'S OF QUINCY
& OTHER AGENCIES.

ORDER BY MAIL, NOW!

BOX OFFICE MGR.
BOSTON GARDEN
NORTH STATION
BOSTON, MASS. 02114

ENCLOSE STAMPED SELF-ADDRESSED ENVELOPE TO
EXPEDITE TICKET RETURN.

ENCLOSED IS CHECK ☐ M.O. ☐ FOR \$..... TOTAL
FOR..... TICKETS AT \$..... EACH, FOR

BLOOD, SWEAT AND TEARS
FRI., APRIL 17 - 8 P.M.

Name.....
Street.....
City..... State..... Zip No.....

BOSTON GARDEN

Change protests -Kunstler

By Lee Giguere

With grey streaking his longish dark hair, attorney William Kunstler greeted an enthusiastic audience at the University of Rhode Island April 1 with raised fists and a grin of confidence.

Although he faces a four year sentence for contempt of court during his defense of the Chicago 7, Kunstler's appearance is not that of a defeated man.

Kunstler claimed that four jurors believed his clients were "innocent of every charge" but gave in to compromise with the rest of the jury.

Also that evening, he predicted that "maybe it's time to turn to new forms of protest." The government, he said, "must listen to what's going on," and he quoted Justice William Douglas that "today's establishment is like yesterday's George III." "Patience has worn thin," he said, and we have to think in terms of strong measures. He called for an increase in resistance, saying, "we are resisting illegitimate authority; we are resisting a slave state."

Kunstler jokingly referred to the Chicago trial as one of the few cases in American history "where the lawyers may do more time than the defendants."

Symbolic importance

Noting that the trial of Bobby Seale in New Haven, which is set to begin this spring, is a more serious case, he claimed that the symbolic importance of his case, "involved a

decision by the defendants not to yield, not to win by giving up their principles." The trial, Kunstler said, illustrates the failure of communication on the part of an older generation. Judge Julius Hoffman "would not listen, was afraid to listen," he said. He explained that "those who have the power are afraid to look into the future." This, he said, is what the trial showed. Hoffman, he asserted, is not that unusual.

Kunstler noted that his initial instinct had been to try to win the case, and he pointed out that the defense would have been better off to rest when the prosecution finished. The defendants, however, were unwilling to compromise their life styles in order to win their case. He quoted Jerry Rubin as saying "It doesn't matter whether you win or lose, it's how you play the game."

3-part defense

The defense, he explained, was carried on at three levels: first, show the prosecution witnesses were liars; second, show why the defendants came to Chicago; third, show themselves and their life-styles.

Films of the events in Chicago, Kunstler noted, were in direct contradiction of the testimony of witnesses that missiles were thrown by the demonstrators and that they abused the police. None of the film taken by TV camera crews, including

unused segments, showed these things, according to him. Further, Kunstler stated that 15 days after sentencing, Thomas Foran, the prosecuting attorney, speaking to the Boosters Club of Loyola, Illinois, said he had seen the police attack the demonstrators at the corner of Michigan and Balboa Avenues. He quoted Foran as saying: "the police attacked the demonstrators" because they needed an emotional purge "for all the guff they'd been taking."

Life styles

The most important thing at the trial, according to Kunstler, was the demonstration of a different life style. "everyone came as they lived." The difference between the prosecution and defense tables themselves, he said, exemplified these differences. The prosecution's was a heavy well-polished table, always kept neat. The defense was seated behind four folding tables which might be covered with anything from jelly-beans to proof-sheets of Jerry Rubin's new book, *Do It*. The defense wanted the jury to understand that "there were life-styles on trial in the courtroom."

Kunstler pointed out that former Attorney General Ramsey Clarke had ordered federal attorneys not to prosecute cases under the law under which the conspiracy cases were tried. The law, Kunstler said, was meant to "stop people from saying what could come true." During the trial, Judge Hoffman ruled Clarke's testimony irrelevant to the case. Clarke is now one of Kunstler's attorneys in his contempt hearings.

Radicalization

According to Kunstler, the end result of the trial will be "a great radicalization." The trial, he felt, showed that "the government will stoop to any level to kill, to stop those it fears." Conventional protest is not the time we're in, he said. "The government doesn't yield to it, they've gotten used to it. Resistance—that's where we're at at this point."

At the close of his speech, the Student Mobilization Committee at URI presented Kunstler with an honorary "Doctor of Justice" degree from the "Free University of Rhode Island."

In a later question period, he claimed that "chances are good for the appeal."

Difference in tactics divides leftist groups

(Continued from page 1)

Such a step by MIT would require action by the Faculty, and the next scheduled Faculty meeting is set for that day. Some Faculty members, indicating dissatisfaction with the situation, have indicated they will canvass the Faculty in an attempt to get a meeting called before Wednesday. It is likely, however, that many classes will be unofficially cancelled in any case, because of the large numbers of Faculty and students expected to participate in the protest.

Leafletting planned

Wednesday afternoon's activities will be preceded by area-wide leafletting at busy intersections and MBTA stations by students and other volunteers. (MIT community members who desire to help will cover Kendall Square, Government Center, and the Park Street subway station.)

Another aspect of next week's events which has received less widespread enthusiasm is the intent by some groups to set up demonstrations on Tuesday and after the downtown rally Wednesday. Intended to express support for the Black Panthers, Women's Liberation, alliance with workers, and other causes, the protests are being organized by NAC, TDA, the Progressive Labor faction of SDS, and others.

Artie Seale, wife of Panther leader Bobbie Seale, will keynote the April 14 rally. Boston Panther Andrea Jones will join Mrs. Seale in Post Office Square to protest Seale's trial in New Haven, Connecticut. From there, the leftists will march to the Berkeley Street Police Station for an address by Boston University Professor Howard Zinn.

Originally, plans had called for a subsequent march to a nearby corporation. To focus all attention on the police action, the Tuesday night meeting abandoned the industry rally.

Women's caucus

The women's caucus played a significant role. Their recommendation that the demonstration be disciplined and without planned disruption was influential in the development of a general consensus against violent action. A few of the militants, however, objected to the women's stand, charging that the female caucus was too concerned with the style of the action while forgetting that Seale's

life was at stake.

The Tuesday Assembly also attempted to draw up plans for a follow-up action after Wednesday's rally on the Common. Possibilities discussed included a march to the draft board in the Cambridge City Hall (Central Square) and a march to Harvard Square. Fears that such actions could alienate local residents prompted a decision to reconsider the plans.

Friction develops

Planners of the principal April 15 antiwar activities have, however, displayed little willingness to support actively or demonstrate next week for the other causes, and friction has developed between the "moratorium" organizers and the radical groups. Although the radical organizations are all strongly opposed to the war, it seems certain that the opposing camps will go their separate ways in planning for next week.

NYHART, ALBERT CLASH AT SALA

(Continued from page 1)

Committee previously, but had been denied space because none was available. Eddleman agreed to the trade. 8 pm found the radicals esconced in the Sala, the General Assembly meeting getting under way in the meeting room with 40 delegates present, and the Women's Caucus of NAC/TDA continuing a meeting in the East Lounge that had been going on since 5 o'clock.

Bitter ideological arguments punctuated the NAC/TDA meeting, although its basic actions for next week—marches on Tuesday and Wednesday—had already been set by a tactics committee. At issue were the level of militancy the marches would entail, whether to indulge in "trashing" (vandalism), and selection of targets. These will tentatively include police stations in Boston and Cambridge, and the headquarters of some as yet undesignated corporation.

Arguments in the Sala flared over targets (Central Square vs. Tech Square), militancy ("Are you serious about freeing Bobby Seale?" one radical shouted, "No indiscriminate trashing"

(Please turn to page 7)

Final judicial draft released

The faculty Discipline Committee has further revised its reform procedures to allow prosecution and defense to know the identity of the opposing witnesses five school days before a disciplinary hearing.

GIRLS---GIRLS---GIRLS

The Green Horny is pleased to announce that he is once again available, & willing to take on all comers. Respond dl 9544, 7-10

BIKES & CARS

A new exclusive ad magazine. Spend your advertising dollar on people interested in buying bikes and cars. Only \$1 per 4 weeks (2 issues). Coming out in April. Only motorcycle and car ads accepted. Send your ad and fee to: Bikes & Cars, 526 Beacon St., Boston, Mass.

In the fourth draft of the Committee's position papers, Professors Louis Osborne, Physics, and Roy Kaplow, Metallurgy, suggested that the original proposal for a hearing involving legal counsel be amended to allow publication of witness listings, so as to allow both sides a more accurate appraisal of the nature of charges.

No substantive change

Gregory Arenson '70, who headed the General Assembly's Judicial Reform Committee, said that the change would make no substantive difference in the overall disposition of cases. Further, he said, this subsequent change in procedure had in no way changed the committee's approval of the proposed reforms in disciplinary proceedings.

Under the currently proposed changes, a pretrial hearing will be held ten days after the accused party has received notice

of proceedings against him. During this time, he can obtain the free aid of counsel provided by the Committee to prepare a show-cause case. If the Committee decides at the pretrial hearing that the evidence is sufficient to warrant a final hearing, the defendant will be given five days during which he may prepare his case. The witness list will be released at this time.

Other aspects of disciplinary proceedings will change under current proposals. The Dean for Student Affairs will no longer act as the initiator of charges against students. Rather, he will accept accusations from aggrieved parties and transmit them to the committee, screening out trivial or easily settled disagreements. In addition, the Chairman of the Committee may convene it on his own initiative at any time to consider dismissal of the charges. The accused will now also be allowed cross-examination privileges.



Tech Coop Optical

NEXT TO SPECIAL ORDER BOOKS IN THE BOOK DEPARTMENT
Sunglasses, tailored to your prescription in the finest of
Bausch and Lomb and American optical lenses
Quality and Service is Our Byword - Patronage Refund
Optometrists' prescriptions are filled promptly - accurately
Excellent selection of frames for Men, Women, Children
Office Hours: Monday-Friday, 8:50 to 5:00 - Lunch 2-3 (Closed)
Saturday, 9:20 to 1:00
Phone 491-4230, Ext. 50, or from MIT dial 81950

THE TECH COOP
In the MIT Student Center

84 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139



Arthur Rosenbaum, Optician

GRANT PROGRAMS

■ If you are eligible, now you can afford to travel by car in EUROPE ■ Low cost short-term rentals ■ Inexpensive monthly leases ■ Complete car purchase program with GRANTS ■ Official US National Student Association Car Plan ■ Faculty members eligible too ■ For complete information, contact your Student Government or: USNSA CAR PLAN, 537 La Guardia Place, New York, N.Y. 10012

"Bravo! A mind-bending sparkler, shockingly brilliant."

—Cosmopolitan



At 12:45, 2:50, 5:00, 7:05, 9:15 • 28 Exeter Street • 536-7067 **Exeter St. Theatre**

CJAC-GM

The CJAC meeting on Monday will provide an important opportunity to get answers from both sides of the GM proxy fight question.

Tentatively, however, *The Tech* supports the report of the GA task force, as approved by the General Assembly, which recommends that MIT vote "yes" on five of the proposals of the Project on Corporate Responsibility, and abstain on the others. Unless the GM representative can make a convincing case to the contrary on Monday, we urge that CJAC support these recommendations when it makes its report to the Corporation.

Concerning that meeting, though: In inviting GM to send a representative, CJAC promised that he would not be subjected to indiscriminate verbal abuse. This we take to mean heckling and cursing from the floor. Such behavior has broken up meetings here before. We ask that individuals

inclined to such behavior please exercise discretion and restraint, as there are good reasons for this:

First, nothing is gained through shouted invective. If GM is in fact guilty of operating in a manner contrary to the public interest, this can be brought out better through sharp questioning. Certainly Mr. Nader will not fail to get his licks in on his old adversary. Second, news reports that "hecklers refused to let GM speak" will only cast GM in the "good guy" role, and, believing as we do that GM and other large corporations operate in a manner dangerous to the health, safety and welfare of the American People, we do not want them to be cast that way.

Therefore, we urge all members of the community to attend the CJAC meeting and let the facts speak for themselves.

What next to end war?

By Steve Carhart

April 15, the focus of spring antiwar activities, is nearly upon us. Yet very few seem to be aware of the existence of the massive rally which has been planned for that day on the Common. What has changed since the fall Moratoria?

There was a new guy on the Common in October. He always thought that the war was a bad deal and all, and he really felt sorry for the civilians who got killed by mistake. And well, communists don't have elections, but then what kind of an election is it when Thieu gets elected and the guy who finished second gets put in jail? Yeah, the war isn't so hot — but spend an afternoon demonstrating? Only weird types do that. Well, after Nixon had been in office the better part of a year and looked more like Johnson every day our friend finally felt moved to trot on down to the Common so that he could do *something*, and since even Howard Johnson was saying nice things about October 15, it couldn't be all *that* disreputable.

Effect of fall actions

Six months have passed. What has changed? Well, October proved to Nixon that he had to do something or lose the support of everyone who had taken a "wait and see" attitude on his Vietnam policy. So he withdrew a hundred thousand troops. Tactically, it was brilliant politics, because it sent our first-time demonstrator back to whatever he was doing. LBJ's monumental intransigence, in fact, made this minor concession seem somewhat significant.

Yet nothing which is at issue in Indochina has been settled. We are still holding out for military victory, albeit by proxy. French attempts to convene a Geneva-style peace conference have been rejected by the administration. Vietnamization has driven the North Vietnamese into Cambodia and Laos, which teeter on the brink of major war.

Patchwork policy

While Nixon's jerry-built make-do policy seems certain to explode in his face, this is not

yet apparent to our October first-timer. The public awareness of the bankruptcy of our policy, the media support, and the general frustration which made October possible are not there now. Perhaps this is because the public has been fooled, or perhaps because people want Vietnam to go away so badly that they will clutch at the Vietnamization straw and believe that it is a solution.

On the surface, the prospects for April 15 having the effect of October's rally are dim. Yet making this month's rally success may be more important than anything that happened in October. It will be our only chance to demonstrate that large numbers of people haven't been fooled by Nixon's tactical maneuvering, and induce others to think again. Unlike October's rally, which bore witness to a tidal wave of feeling, next week's must be the beginning of a new drive to end the war. While the turnout of casual antiwar types attracted by publicity will be less than in the fall, the local organizing efforts of the Political Action for Peace group will bring many busloads of protesters from the suburbs. We will need all we can get because if the rally fails, it will show that Nixon has lulled the public to sleep.

There is another new aspect of the spring demonstrations which may endanger the antiwar movement's effort to regain momentum. NAC and TDA have planned street actions in conjunction with April 15 activities. In October, the self-styled revolutionaries gave only qualified support to the Moratorium, but did not organize independent activities.

Street tactics

The exact nature of NAC/TDA actions remains nebulous, since the group has no leaders, can never unanimously agree on tactics, and couldn't enforce a tactical decision even if it made one. However, it seems certain that during their street marches on the 14th and 15th there will be a significant portion of the group which will be prepared to indulge in trash-

ing (breaking store windows and such) and scuffling with the police.

Now, I sometimes feel angry enough about the way the country is going to do a little trashing myself, and I might if I thought it would do any good. It certainly is an effective way to release frustration. However, in the present context of the antiwar effort, it is distinctly counterproductive. For those who haven't left the Northeast recently, be assured that such actions play directly into Nixon-agnewmitchell's hands. They are endeavoring to create a political coalition based upon fear. 95% of the country is not prepared to understand the reasoning that leads some of our friendly local revolutionaries to see smashing bank windows as a political act against the war, much less make a judgment about whether it is right or wrong.

Making opposition respectable

Whatever impact the fall actions had resulted from the way in which they made being against the war a generally accepted, respectable thing. Rulers with the weapons of modern technology at their disposal needn't fear a few radicals; when millions change their views ever so slightly, something has to give. The war will not be brought to a halt until we can again mobilize a significant, broadly based movement which will actively oppose the war. Neither the Silent Majority nor quixotic revolutionaries can do as much for the country as a few hundred thousand who will talk (not frighten) the country into realizing that we must not, we cannot support two bit dictators in the name of mindless anti-communism.

Letters to The Tech

To the Editor:

I believe that some of the points mentioned in *The Tech's* article of April 7 regarding the GM proxy fight merit additional clarification. First of all, for those who thrive on precision, the Project on Corporate Responsibility owns not nine, but

Volume XC, Number 16

Friday, April 10, 1970

Chairman Craig Davis '71
Editor-in-chief Randy Hawthorne '71
Business Manager Steve Bailey '72

Second-class postage paid at Boston, Massachusetts. *The Tech* is published every Tuesday and Friday during the college year, except during college vacations, by *The Tech*, Room W20-483, MIT Student Center, 84 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139. Telephone: Area Code 617 876-5855, or 864-6900 extension 2731. United States Mail subscription rates: \$4.50 for one year, \$8.00 for two years.

Faculty choice at Sloan: Research or teaching?

By Ed Donie

Faculty personnel decisions have the furtiveness of the eating club bicker and the fraternity rush. As a matter of propriety, the faculty and administration of a department who make such decisions are unable to discuss the rationale of an individual case with anyone not directly involved. Consequently one cannot objectively take issue with a particular personnel decision, but only with the criterion and process in general.

From discussions with some of the faculty and administration in the Sloan School, it was clear what the general criterion is for such decision. Research or professional work is given highest priority, teaching ability is second, and consulting or other forms of public service is last. A professor's record of research grants and funding is not considered.

The emphasis of professional work over teaching may be attributed to several causes. Because it is easier to evaluate research by examining a professor's writings, his merit as a professional relative to others is fairly objective. Teaching ability on the other hand cannot be examined in terms of concrete data. At best there is hearsay evidence of a professor's effectiveness in the classroom.

Also the relative value of research versus teaching ability in the long term favors research. A professor must be continually furthering his field to remain relevant in the classroom. The effective presentation of outdated material has little value in education which is directed at innovation as in the Sloan School.

There are several aspects of the personnel decision process which may be criticized. One may question the value of tenure, since it is irreversible and rests on a vague perception of the future. It has however been observed that, because length of service implies tenure, organizations without tenure have no higher turnover than universities. The permanence of tenure may in fact insure that more care is


taken in long term personnel decisions. The results of the security that tenure brings is somewhat ambiguous. At the same time that the security may allow greater creativity and innovativeness, it may remove the incentives to continue furthering one's field and remain relevant.

The quality of the information on teaching effectiveness should be improved. Currently the Sloan School is experimenting with an evaluation program of teaching in the masters curriculum. It is hoped that it will prove useful and be extended to all courses. Another possible solution is the inclusion of students in the personnel decision process. A student in such a position would, however, have great responsibilities both to other students and to the professors involved. While at the same time insuring that sufficient information is presented concerning teaching ability, a student member would be bound by the same propriety that other members are. He would also have to be sensitive to the issue of the professional quality of research.

The university plays three roles as an intellectual community: the furthering of knowledge through research, the education of students through teaching, and service through consulting.

The role of the university as an educational institution is tantamount to its role in furthering knowledge. Through the education of students, knowledge and understanding has its greatest power and utility. Education includes providing for the personal development of students. This is an aspect of teaching which is often ignored.

Departments should develop personnel procedures and policies which cope with the problems of an imbalance between research and teaching. A lack of one in some professors could be compensated by outstanding ability in others. Such an equilibrium is difficult to attain but would enable the university to achieve greater effectiveness in all its roles.



The Wizard of Id appears daily and Sunday in the Boston Herald Traveler.

Judge delays Kats verdict

Month-long sentence could result from hearings; witnesses heard

By Lee Giguere

The verdict in the cases of Pete Bohmer and George Katsifacis for disrupting classes will be delivered on Monday by Judge Haven Parker of the Third District Court of Middlesex County on the basis of testimony heard in court last Tuesday.

Daniel Klubock, Bohmer and Katsifacis's lawyer, declined comment on the possible outcome of the case.

Bohmer and Katsifacis are being charged under a Massachusetts state law that forbids the disruption of schools. The Commonwealth, acting on a complaint by MIT, contends that they disrupted the classes of Professor Emeritus John Wulff and Associate Professor Edwin Bransome on Friday, January 16.

If they are found guilty, the defendants face possible jail terms of up to one month on each charge.

In his summary, Klubock made no effort to question the presence of Bohmer and Katsifacis in the classes, but instead emphasized that their intent was not to disrupt but simply to make an announcement. He asserted the acts in question were not criminal and in fact, "there wasn't one thing these people did to cause any real disturbance." He also pointed out the seriousness of the announcement they were attempting to make; it was an announcement of a meeting to discuss the events that had occurred at the Institute that week. (President Johnson's office had been occupied the day before.) He contended that the real reason for the disturbance was the way the professors reacted.

Attorney for the prosecution Robert Sullivan stated in his summary that "there are other issues, major issues" concerning the right of a professor to conduct his classes without intrusions. He asserted that "the abrogation of the right (of control of class) is important." He also argued that "the purpose of their announcement is not relevant," and stated that the defendants had persisted in attempting to address the classes even though they had been denied permission.

The cases were argued separately, the charge of disruption of Wulff's class being considered first.

Professor Wulff, the first wit-

ness, stated that he saw Peter Bohmer enter his class, which was in 10-250 from the back, Bohmer, he said, "didn't talk, he raved." Wulff continued, saying that he told them to "shut up and get out," and he proceeded up the stairway towards them. He claimed that Bohmer "kept on talking in a vehement fashion." At the same time, he testified, Katsifacis was "going through some pantomime" and had a sheaf of papers with him. Wulff said "the vehemence of Mr. Bohmer became so violent that I was full of fright and summoned help from my class." Continuing, he said, "two students escorted Bohmer and Katsifacis out of the classroom with me close behind."

The second prosecution witness, Howard Taikham, an audio-visual specialist at the Institute, testified that the first thing he heard while inside the projection booth "were the words 'get out of my class' by Professor Wulff." He said that he then left the booth to "see what was happening." He stated that the incident took "very few minutes," less than five. He also testified that he did not hear Bohmer.

At this point the prosecution rested, and a defense motion for acquittal was denied.

The defense then presented as witnesses six students from Wulff's class. Five of them stated that the first that they knew of anything was Wulff's yelling to the two to get out of the class. The sixth, who had been sitting in the rear of the class, was handed a leaflet by Katsifacis. Paul Sheehy stated "the first thing that I knew was when Professor Wulff said get out of my class!" He also testified that Wulff asked the class for help. James Daniels said the defendants "asked that the question of whether or not they could talk be put to a class vote." Wulff, he said, responded, "No, this is my class." Mark Horenstein stated that "there was a lot of commotion" in the class. Paul Balian said that he "heard people coming up the back stairs — footsteps and soft conversation." Katsifacis then handed him a leaflet, he said.

The final witness for the defense was Nadir Rashid, a 6.14 teaching assistant. He testified that while he was teaching his class on January 16, "there was a knock on the door." He said that "George and another gentleman asked if they could speak to the class," to which he replied no. "They told me there was to be an assembly," he said, and when they asked to distribute leaflets he allowed them to do so. The whole incident he said, took only two or three minutes. Klubock cited this as evidence of what would have happened if "Wulff had not got disturbed."

As the first prosecution witness for the second case, Professor Bransome testified that "two young males entered the

lecture hall from my left." He then said that Bohmer "wished me to stop my lecture so he could discuss the current situation at MIT with my class." Bransome said he refused them and asked them to leave, which they would not do. He continued by saying that they asked that the question be put to a class vote, which Bransome said he said he could not "allow." He told them, however, that they could come back "after class." When they still refused to leave, Bransome recounted that he "stepped over to where he (Bohmer) was and took him by the arm and pushed him toward the door against some resistance." He added that "several students from the class had gotten up behind me." Katsifacis, he said, prevented him from closing the door with his foot, and Bohmer took a "pugilistic stance." "I thought that there might be an attempt to hit me." Bransome testified that the whole incident had lasted five to six minutes.


The prosecution witness, Shelley Fleet G, confirmed Bransome's testimony. She testified that "Bohmer said 'we came to talk about why we're in the President's office.'" When Bransome took Bohmer's arm, she said that "Pete said 'don't touch me.'" Someone in the class, she related, said "You weren't invited to speak."

The defense presented only one witness, who was unable to identify either of the defendants. He said, however, that the "two persons" who entered the class "asked to address the class," and Bransome said no. "The action shifted in the direction of the hallway," he stated, and the duration of the incident in the class was only two minutes.

Professor Wulff was teaching 3.091, Introduction to Solid State Chemistry, and Professor Bransome was teaching 20.63, Systematic Physiology.

Coed housing result of admissions shift

(Continued from page 1)



they succeeded — fine! If they failed — well, no one had expected them to succeed." As for environment, from 1945 through 1957 MIT housing was available for less than a quarter of the girls, and this one dorm was located across the Charles on Bay State Road.

Better showing

Once the women were accepted as part of the community by the construction of McCormick in 1963, their performance increased measurably. Studies show them at least the equals of their male counterparts in many fields.

This success, emphasized Wick, is attributable to the fostering of a real community spirit, "an esprit de corps," among the women. In fact, this was the motivation behind the rule re-

quiring all women to live on campus. Now that women are accepted as an integral part of the campus, this restriction has been dropped.

Widened to the admission of more women students is the need for coed housing. Wick outlined two crucial concepts: "the right of every student to select his or her way of living, i.e. a men's or women's dormitory, an off-campus room or apartment, or a coeducational living group," and the need to view coed living as an important adjunct to MIT's educational experience, rather than just another fashion.

"A very real hangup," Wick continued, "is what we do with displaced male students." Plans must be formed which will insure space on campus for all that desire it.

Letters to The Tech

(Continued from page 4)

for inclusion on GM's proxies. The other 7 proposals not included on the GM proxy material will also be brought up as motions from the floor by the Project.

The GA Task Force recommended that MIT not give its full support to either GM or to the Project, but rather to vote independently on each of the proposals. This requires that a duly authorized representative or representatives of MIT be present at the stockholders meeting in order to vote on motions brought up from the floor.

Furthermore, the wording of some of the Project's proposals may be modified before being voted upon at the meeting. Thus, MIT should instruct its representative(s) to take this into account in a manner determined beforehand by MIT when voting on modified proposals.

Copies of the report of the Task Force are available in the Undergraduate Assembly office, in the Bldg. 7 Information Center, and in Paul Johnson's office in room 5-122.

Copies of the information received by the Task Force in its consideration of this issue and of any additional information which the Task Force may receive will be made available in the Student Center, Hayden, and Dewey Libraries.

John Gaschnig '72

To the MIT community,

MIT is run by a liberal administration. Howard Johnson has been chosen "Man in the News" by the New York Times while Jerome Wiesner has testified against the ABM in Congress. Both are against the war and both view today's activist-oriented students with approval. Yet when the self-interests of these administrators conflict with the liberal ideals they espouse, their self-interests often win out. Thus Jerome Wiesner supports the MIRV and ABM research at MIT. He is against the weapons systems but in favor of their development. And Johnson only allows what he determines to be "productive dissent" at the Institute*. Funny how counter-administration dissent is never ruled productive.

Two recent incidents involving censorship of posters illustrate the hypocritical nature of the MIT administration. On February 27 Daniel Nyhart directed the Physical Plant to take down all copies of the "Why is this man smiling... perhaps he thinks people have forgotten about ABM, MIRV, MTI, DSRV, Helicopter" poster picturing a jovial HoJo. Dean Sorenson justified the censorship by explaining that the poster was not signed and that it was disrespectful to the president.

The second incident involved Wiesner, a HoJo poster, and a "Behind these serene walls... (a view from the Great Court) ...

lies a factory of genocide" poster. These posters had been taped to columns at the beginning of the "People's Lobby" and remained up for the first day. On the second morning of the demonstration Wiesner marched up to the posters and tore both of them down. The inhabitants of the Lobby were stunned and asked him what right he had to destroy the posters. His reply: "Don't you know who I am? I help run this place and I can do anything I damn well please."

Both these incidents are clear examples of administration censorship. Certainly any student who systematically ripped down an administration poster would be punished by the Discipline Committee. Yet the MIT Community cannot act against administrators who have interfered with the rights of students or workers. Because the administration holds power it can legitimize any action it takes. Just as it can declare a demonstration to be counter productive and punish its participants, so it can deny the right of freedom of speech at will.

Eric Newman '72

* The New York Times, March 8, 1970: quotes Johnson, "This is an open university where dissent can occur, and when it is productive we actually welcome it. But when violence breaks out we try to isolate those whose actions are not compatible with the aims of the university." (italics mine)

HARVARD SQ. UN 4-4580

TODAY THRU TUES!
MIDNIGHT COWBOY
Dustin Hoffman
& Jon Voight 2:05-5:55-9:45
ALICE'S RESTAURANT
Arlo Guthrie 3:55-7:45

BRATTLE SQ. TR 6-4226

TODAY THRU TUES!
Fellini's EIGHT-1/2
5:15-7:30-9:45 Sat/Sun Mat 3:00

CENTRAL SQ. UN 4-0426

TODAY THRU TUES!
Frederick Wiseman's HOSPITAL
7:20-10:15 Sat/Sun Mat. 4:30
& Wiseman's HIGH SCHOOL
6:05-8:50

TODAY THRU TUES!
First New England Showing!
FIDEL! & short on Dr. Spock
complete shows 5:30-7:40-9:50
Sat/Sun Mat. 3:20

Corris Girls Available

to do typing of reports, term papers, theses. Work done either at our office or for MIT students residing in dormitories, girls supplied to work in your room. 266-6868.

Relax and Divert

CAMPUS CUE

590 Commonwealth Ave.
(Opposite B. U. Towers)

Pocket Billiards

"Great for a Date"

MIT risks state aid loss

(Continued from page 1)

Harvard, Yale, Stanford, MIT and a few other schools have requested an extension of the deadline for signing the contract from April 1 to May 1. The postponements have been granted. The American Association of Universities (AAU) will discuss the matter at its meeting April 21-22. Harvard's president Nathan Pusey is president of the body. Pusey's own Faculty Committee on Admissions and Financial Aid will recommend that he not sign the contract, and Harvard will attempt to cover some \$30,000 in scholarships and \$25,000 in loans with its own funds.

When MIT President Howard Johnson received the Agency's letter, he forwarded it to Dean of the Graduate School Irwin Sizer, who heads a committee originally set up last spring, when the McClellan Commission subpoenaed the records of students involved in demonstrations who were receiving federal

financial aid. (The information was sent after exhaustive consultation with lawyers and the students involved. There have been no subpoenas since. However, MIT is technically required to make such reports voluntarily. A report on how MIT should deal with such matters will probably appear this May.)

'Up the tree'

However: "We are really up the tree with regard to this Pennsylvania thing," Sizer said when contacted by *The Tech*. 88 Pennsylvania students stand to lose aid funds totaling over \$100,000 if MIT does not sign the contract. If MIT does sign, however, they will not be the only ones affected. In such a case, Sizer pointed out, every Pennsylvania student would risk a permanent black mark in the state of Pennsylvania if he got arrested and convicted in court, or expelled by the Institute for "disruptive" activities.

Sizer does not put much

stock in the AAU conference's ability to sway the Pennsylvania aid agency, noting that over 99% of the institutions involved had already signed the agreement. He said that MIT's lawyers were "working hard trying to give us the best legal advice."

Deficit

Should MIT lose the Pennsylvania funds, it will be hard pressed to cover the difference. The Institute already faces a \$4 million deficit for next year. What could MIT do? Sizer said in jest, "It could always raise tuition, or dip into its endowment..."

At present Pennsylvania is the only state to have enacted such a law. There does not appear to have been any serious move to pass such laws in other states, Sizer said.

The Sizer committee will advise President Johnson whether or not to sign the contract late this month, following the AAU conference.

CJAC to hear GM debate

(Continued from page 2)

of the proposals and abstain on the others.

The General Assembly approved the task force report Tuesday night with but one dissenting vote.

CJAC, meanwhile, invited GM and the Project to send representatives to an open meeting to be held next Monday. Nader, who will be speaking in Kresge at 1:30 pm that day on "Consumer Protection and Corporate

Responsibility" has also been invited.

The CJAC meeting has been tentatively scheduled for 3:30 in 9-150. However, CJAC members have been asked to attend Nader's talk, and will take up business in Kresge if enough people wish to remain there.

The meeting will probably be chaired by GM subcommittee chairman James Champy. Tentative agenda includes 20 minutes

of presentation by the Project rep, 20 minutes by the GM rep, followed by 1 hour of questioning by CJAC members. Questions from the floor will follow, though whether there will be an open mike is not known. CJAC fears that heckling of the GM representative may occur. Should it become excessive, one CJAC member said, it would probably be necessary to adjourn to closed session.

Announcements

* Agenda Committee meeting 8 pm in W20-400, April 13, 1970.

* Liars! Boasters! Deceivers! Don't miss your chance to tell Mommies and Daddies what MIT is really like. Volunteer to be a Tour Guide or to man an information booth on Saturday, May 2 for Parents' Weekend. We also need more people to help on the Parents' Weekend Committee. Call x1627.

* The Finance Board will be holding open hearings on the 1970-1971 budget on April 9, 10, 16, 17, and 23. All are invited to attend and express their opinions on the funding of student government and activities.

* The Corporation Joint Advisory Committee will hold its next open meeting on Monday, 13 April, at 3:30 pm in room 9-150. There will be a discussion of the General Motors issue at that time.

* Registration material for the 1970 summer session will be available on Monday, April 27, at the Registrar's Office room E19-335. The registration forms must be filled in and returned to the Registrar's Office by 5 pm Friday, May 15.

* The Freshman Advisory Council is seeking help from students for next year. If you know a faculty or staff member who you think would make a good freshman advisor, please give that person's name to the F.A.C., which is now located in room 7-103. The advisory system can be only as good as you are willing to make it.

* An experimental two year Masters program entitled Program for Social Applications of Technology (PSAT) has been proposed and may be offered this fall. Further information is available from Prof. Carroll Wilson x5223 or Michael Baram x4868.

* A conference on the "Crisis in Southern Africa" is being held in Kresge Auditorium today, April 10. Invited speakers include representatives of major liberation groups in Southern Africa. For further information and registration, contact Bill Jordan in room 4-332.

* The Ad Hoc Committee for the Ecology Teach-In will sponsor a free concert by the Slag on April 22 in Kresge Plaza, 11:30-1 pm.

PARTHENON RESTAURANT

AUTHENTIC GREEK CUISINE
EXCELLENT EUROPEAN AND AMERICAN WINES
ALL KINDS OF LIQUOR
UNIQUE HELLENIC ATMOSPHERE FEATURING
THE ANCIENT GREEK PARTHENON



OPEN EVERY DAY
11 a.m. to p.m.
Extremely Moderate Prices
For Reservations Call 491-9592
924 Mass. Ave.
(BETWEEN HARVARD AND
CENTRAL SQUARES)

(Paid political advertisement.)

IN THE NAME OF HUMANITY

VOTE NO ON THE QUESTION OF IMMEDIATE WITHDRAWAL FROM VIET NAM

Stop the killing? . . . End the fighting? . . . Peace and freedom for Asia? . . . These are the objectives all Americans should be advocating. But they will never be accomplished by an immediate withdrawal.

From the beginning of the war until the present time, untold atrocities have been committed by the Communist forces in the name of "liberation." These Communist massacres are planned and organized. They are an integral part of Communist war policy rivaling in brutality the atrocities perpetrated by the Nazis in World War II. THE PERPETRATORS ARE REWARDED AND PROMOTED, NOT TRIED BY COURTS-MARTIAL.

Whatever America's mistakes have been in Viet Nam, they cannot be remedied by the horrible consequences of immediate withdrawal.

"I think it would be a major mistake to unilaterally withdraw."

Robert F. Kennedy
Wisconsin State Journal
March 28, 1968



"While immediate withdrawal would end the war, it would lose the peace."

Eugene McCarthy
CBS News
March 12, 1968

"The first consequence, as anyone can foresee, (of immediate withdrawal) will be the cold-blooded massacre of a couple of million South Vietnamese who have put their faith and confidence in the United States."

Joseph Alsop, October, 1969

Most students supported the policy of continued training and arming of the South Vietnamese to defend themselves. Most students do not advocate the dangerous policy of "peace at any price."

RESPONSIBLE VOICES MUST BE HEARD . . . VOTE NO ON APRIL 13-14

Administration patience wearing thin

(Continued from page 3)

said the Women's Caucus), and male chauvinism. An argument over the latter set the pace for the evening when the Women's Caucus sent word it would be out for another hour, and some people objected, wanting to get the general meeting under way.

By 9:30 the Women's Caucus had joined the men in the Sala, and the meeting was under way, with about 225 present. The General Assembly proceeded through its agenda in the meeting room.

Administration arrives

The smooth progression of the evening was interrupted at this point by the arrival of Deans J. Daniel Nyhart and Richard Sorenson, followed shortly by

MIT Vice-Presidents Kenneth Wadleigh and Malcolm Kispert. The deans took stock of the situation on the second floor, then joined the other two men and Dean Jay Hammerness in the Student Center Office on the third floor.

Upon learning of the presence of the radicals, the administration decided it wanted them out. Dean Nyhart told *The Tech*, "This is the group that has been working against MIT since last November. We are just tired of having them make their plans while using our facilities and our money." He also indicated that NAC/TDA was contemplating actions that might be inimical to the Cambridge community, alluding to indications in NAC/TDA leaflets that City Hall and Central Square might be "targets" and possible subjects of "trashing". He said that MIT had a responsibility to Cambridge not to abet this sort of activity.

Nyhart, Eddleman meet

Nyhart, through an intermediary, asked Eddleman to come up to the Student Center office. There he asked the UAP to enter the Sala with him and ask the radicals to leave. Eddle-

man refused, whereupon Nyhart asked him to poll the executive committee. Back in the meeting room, Eddleman did so, and emerged to tell Nyhart that the excomm had voted 3-1 with 2 abstentions to allow the radicals to stay. Nyhart asked to speak with the members of the executive committee; Dave Slesinger '72 and Andy Himmelblau '72 went along with Eddleman and Nyhart to the Student Center office to huddle with the administrators and some members of Student Center Committee. UAVP Steve Ehrmann remained behind to chair the GA meeting.

About 20 minutes later they emerged. Nyhart proceeded to the Sala, followed by Eddleman and Slesinger, and walked to the mike while radicals cursed and shouted at him. Using the PA system to be heard over the epithets, he explained that Eddleman had no authority to grant use of the room and asked those present to leave peacefully. But he made no threats when radicals shouted, "and if we don't?" (At no point was the use of police to remove the NAC/TDA meeting contemplated. But Nyhart would not say how the MIT administration plans to en-

force its apparently recent decision to deny the off-campus radicals the use of MIT facilities.) Nyhart went back upstairs; Eddleman returned to the meeting room. Mike Albert, apparently worried about a bust or trespass charges, thought fast and then went into the GA meeting.

'Your friendly deans'

"Sorry to interrupt," he told the delegates, "your friendly deans are fucking off again. Dean Nyhart is trying to throw us out of the Sala. If they try there will be a riot. Wells and the General Assembly said we could have the Sala." He suggested that the GA return to the Sala, and let NAC/TDA be their "guests" there. Some 25 GA members took him up on it, including Eddleman, and went to the Sala. There Albert told the NAC/TDA group "... the General Assembly is moving in here, and this is going to be a General Assembly meeting, and Nyhart can fuck himself!"

Rump caucus

Meanwhile, Ehrmann was still chairing the meeting. When the rapid exodus of GA delegates to the Sala (and elsewhere — excomm member John Kryzwicki

claimed that Paul Snover, IFC chairman said he saw many fraternity reps walk out when the meeting moved to the Sala) dropped the attendance in the room below the number required for a quorum, Ehrmann declared the meeting adjourned.

Although there were enough Assembly delegates in the Sala, the rump session there had no official status. The Undergraduate Association Constitution legitimizes only those meetings preceded by a notification of all reps. Ehrmann refused to chair the Sala session, and left.

Eddleman moderated the following prolonged discussion of exactly how to proceed. The consensus was that the meeting should continue; Eddleman promised that all motions passed would be brought before the next Assembly meeting.

Funds for SMC

Appropriate disposal of regular business was hindered by the noise from the NAC/TDA meeting. Peggy Hopper, NRSA, proposed that the budget committee appropriate \$300 to \$400 to the Assembly for contributions to SMC. Eddleman ruled that the motion passed on a 12-11-1 vote. There were no objections from the floor.

Finally, the Assembly passed a motion calling for a referendum to determine student sentiment on the question, "Do you support immediate withdrawal of all American troops from Vietnam?"

EE decision

After initially splitting on the legality of the GA meeting in the Sala, "EE" decided, later along with the executive committee, to complete the business of the GA meeting at an excomm meeting the next day. That meeting was held at 9 am Wednesday morning.

Back in the Student Center Office, the veeps and deans discussed the incident with Student Center Committee members and *The Tech* staffers. One administrator expressed disappointment with the Undergraduate Association, and asked, concerning contemplated NAC/TDA activities, "Do you want these people planning these things on your campus?"

In the Sala, the radical meeting went on, ending inconclusively at 11 pm, when it was decided to allow a coordinating committee to decide the details of the actions.

classified advertising

Wanted — infants and toddlers, newborns, 14 and 16 month old children for learning and developmental studies at Harvard Center for Cognitive Studies — Taxi fare and compensation — Call Miss Anderson 868-7600 Ext. 3862.

DON'T SCRAMBLE for an apartment. S & S REALTY has over 100 for singles and groups. Call 536-0730 or see us at 906 Beacon St. Boston. We are open evenings and weekends for your convenience.

Faculty couple desire 4-5 room apt, begin August. Send tel. no. Lanyon, 270 Shady Ave, Pittsburgh 15206.

Maine summer cottages for rent: in foothills of the White Mts., secluded, all conveniences, fireplaces, sandy beach. Special rates for honeymooners. Reserve now. Write Bear Mt. Village, Box M, South Waterford, Maine 04081 or 207-583-2541.

HOUSE FOR SALE. Weston. Top location. 3-4 bedrooms. Family room off large modern kitchen. Fenced-in yard. Heavily wooded acre. Mid 50's. 899-3264.

**Brandeis
Gilbert & Sullivan
Society**
presents
THE MIKADO
April 8-12 at 8:00
in Spingold Theater
admission price \$2.50

Here's what your first year or two at IBM could be like.


You'll become involved fast.
You'll find we delegate responsibility—to the limit of your ability.

At IBM, you'll work individually or on a small team. And be encouraged to contribute your own ideas. You'll advance just as fast and far as your talents can take you.

Here's what three recent graduates are doing.



Doug Taylor, B.S. Electronics Engineering '67, is already a senior associate engineer working in large-scale circuit technology. Aided by computer design, Doug is one of a five-man team designing integrated circuits that will go into IBM computers in the 1970's.



Soon after his intensive training course, IBM marketing representative Preston Love, B.S. '66, started helping key Iowa commissioners solve problems. Like how to introduce school kids to computers, without installing one. His answer: share one in Chicago by phone cable.



Soon after his IBM programmer training, John Klayman, B.S. Math '68, began writing programs used by a computer system to schedule every event in the Apollo tracking stations. And when the finished programs were turned over to NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, he was responsible for making them work.

For more information on what IBM is like, visit your placement office.

An Equal Opportunity Employer

IBM

Tech racquetmen lose 7-2 to Harvard team

Despite the balmy weather, the Tech racquetmen were unable to muster a win on the DuPont hard courts Wednesday afternoon. Harvard won 7 of 9 matches; in the other two, the Crimson's shutout was saved by the oncoming darkness.

Outstanding Harvard sophomore Dave Fish defeated Joe Baron '70 (6-3, 6-3); Scudder Smith '71 made an excellent showing against Clark Kawakami in defeat (6-3, 7-5), and Skip Perkins succumbed to the devastating ground game of Bill Brock (6-1, 6-2). Powerful Steve Cross '71 and his slashing serve made mincemeat of Joe Cavanaugh in the first set, only to be eventually overcome by the more consistent play of the latter (4-5, 6-0, 6-1). Finally


seniors Bob McKinley and Manny Weiss bared their teeth and barely missed victory. Bob came on strong against Bill Washauer after a slow start (6-0, 5-7, 6-2) while Manny lost a heartbreaker to Chris Neilson that was close all the way. Both Manny and Bob were serving and hitting well; 'twas the wind.

In doubles, Brock-Bull defeated the team of Joe Baron and top sophomore Greg Withers (6-3, 6-4), despite Greg's expert control and Joe's omnipotent serve, blistering overheads, and brilliant net play. McKinley and Weiss battled to a (6-2, 2-6, 3-3) truce with Washauer-Neilson before losing all of the balls in the dark. Meanwhile Steve Cross and Scudder Smith went all the way with Kawakami and Deveraus (1-6, 7-5, 8-8) before darkness in a contest made memorable by Steve's power and Scudder's finesse.

All things considered, it was an enjoyable match, there was a plentitude of good tennis to be viewed, the weather was pleasant, and we didn't run out of oranges.

Ranger Power
to the
Ranger People

OFF THE BRUINS!!




Summer Session at Stanford University

JUNE 22 - AUGUST 15, 1970

FOR FULL DETAILS, WRITE:

OFFICE OF THE SUMMER SESSION
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305

Norelco can shave you closer than a blade, and count how many times it does it.




1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18 shaves. On a single charge.

The Norelco Rechargeable Tripleheader Shaver was made to do two things:

To shave you as close or closer than a stainless steel razor blade.

And to give you up to twice as many shaves per charge as any other rechargeable shaver.

Here's how it works.




The Rechargeable Tripleheader has 18 self-sharpening rotary blades, inside of 3 Microgroove™ shaving heads.

The heads actually float, to follow the curves of your face.

They go in where your face curves in, and out where your face curves out.

And because the blades are rotary, they shave your beard in every direction at once. (If you don't think that means anything, feel your face. Feel how your beard grows in different directions on different parts of your face?)

The Norelco Tripleheader also has a pop-up trimmer, so you can see exactly what



you're trimming.


It has a Charge Indicator that lights up when it's charging.

It has a Shave Counter to count your shaves. And it gives you nearly twice as many shaves per charge as any other rechargeable.

So if you're shaving with a blade, feel around your chin and neck and upper lip.

If it feels like you could use a closer shave, get yourself a Norelco Rechargeable.

And shave your whole face for a change.



Norelco
you can't get any closer

© 1970 North American Philips Corporation, 100 East 42nd Street, New York, N. Y. 10017.

Batsmen crush Lowell 9-2

By Steve Goldstein

After six straight rainouts, the MIT baseball team finally got a chance to show its stuff last Wednesday against Lowell Tech. The result was a resounding 9-2 victory, highlighted by Captain Bruce Wheeler's five hit pitching and very strong team hitting, led by Rich Roy '72, Art Kilmurray '72 and Steve Gass '72.

MIT squeezed out a run on two walks and an error in the first inning. The big bats went to work in the second, as Steve Gass led off with a booming triple to left. Rich Freyberg '70 walked, stole second and Rich Roy walked to load the bases. Gass scored when Ken Weisshaar '72 was awarded first on catcher's interference, and Freyberg scored on a passed ball. Bobby Dresser '71 then rapped a single to left, scoring Roy and giving MIT a 4-0 lead. Tech scored three more tallies in the third, to open up a 7-0 bulge. Kilmurray opened the inning with a single, and Gass singled him to second. Freyberg singled to left, loading the bases and setting the stage for the big hit of the afternoon.

On Deck
Today

Tennis(F)—Harvard, home, 3 pm
Tomorrow
Baseball(V)—WPI (double-header), home, 1 pm
Baseball(F)—BC, away, 2 pm
Track(V)—New Hampshire, home, 12:30 pm
Lacrosse(V)—Holy Cross, away, 2 pm
Tennis(V)—Bowdoin, home, 2 pm

Roy promptly tripled into the gap in right center for three more runs.

Lowell scored a run in the bottom-of the third, but Tech matched that in the fourth as Kilmurray tripled and scored on an error. MIT scored its final run in the eighth on singles by Wheeler, Kilmurray and Bruce Alborn '72, scoring Wheeler. Lowell rounded out the final tally at 9-2, by scoring in the bottom of the eighth on a double and two errors.

Wheeler '71, the former Most Valuable Player in the Greater

Boston League, pitched a superb game, as he went the full nine innings, allowing only five hits and one earned run, while striking out six. The team as a whole showed good fielding and strong hitting, as they garnered thirteen hits, including three triples.

Tech's home opener will be against WPI, in a doubleheader, this Saturday; and next Thursday MIT begins its quest for the Greater Boston League championship against Brandeis. Come on out, enjoy the sun and take in some good baseball at the same time!

PBE, TEP head for volleyball showdown



ATO spike is blocked by PBE.

Photo by Craig Davis

The A volleyball leagues completed their fourth round of play on Monday with PBE beating ATO 15-3, 15-7 to remain in a tie with TEP in first place. Both teams have 4-0 records. This Sunday they will fight it out for their league championship. Grad Econ is third in the league with a 2-2 record. Burton 3A and DTD are 1-3 while ATO is winless.

In the other A league, Persians are all by themselves with a 4-0 record. Next are PSK at 3-1, TDC at 2-2, LCA at 1-3, and Burton 5A at 0-4. Club Latino will enter the playoffs as an A league team although they weren't officially in a league due to a mixup. The playoffs will begin this Monday.

Squash playoffs lack upsets

The first round of the squash playoffs held few surprises as the seedings generally held true. Defending champion LCA conquered PBE B 2-0. Meanwhile PBE A, last year's runner-up, shut-out CP 3-0. Burton A slipped past Bexley 2-1. Meteorology put up a rough fight before falling to DKE 2-1.

In the other four playoff matches, SAE tripped Graduate Economics 2-1. PLP crushed ATO 3-0. Chemical Engineering had little trouble subduing Burton 4A 3-0. The results of the Baker-DTD game was similar with Baker on top, by a 3-0 score.

Water polo club beats Springfield College 12-8

By Pete Sanders


MIT's water polo team, one of the defending co-New England champions, is off to a fine start in the 69-70 season. The Tech team boosted its record to 5-0 with a hard fought 12-8 victory over arch-rival Springfield College Wednesday night.

Springfield, the only team to beat Tech last year, scored first, but by the end of the quarter Tech had come surging back for a 3-1 lead by virtue of three quick goals by Pete Sanders '72. Springfield grabbed a 4-3 lead early in the second quarter, but the half ended with MIT in front 5-4. After that, Tech never

trailed again.

Sanders led the scorers with six goals. Dave James '71 had three goals, Vic Hansen '72 had two, and Dave Lyon G one. Leading the fine defensive effort was goalie John Bush. Alan Graham '71, Jeff Goodman '70, and Chris Tiejien '71 all contributed to the defense.

Last Saturday Tech's aquanauts defeated Tufts 19-7 while earlier in the year they scored victories over Bowdoin 20-4, Northeastern A 11-2, and Northeastern B 11-5. This Friday at 6:30, Tech goes for its sixth straight victory against Northeastern at Alumni Pool.



MOTORCYCLE & AUTO INSURANCE

Same Day Plate Service
Time Payment Arranged

S. BILLARD Insurance Agency
527 Mass. Ave.
Central Square
Cambridge, Mass.
876-1800

Second-class postage paid at Boston, Massachusetts. The Tech is published every Tuesday and Friday during the college year, except during college vacations, by The Tech, Room W20-483, MIT Student Center, 84 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139. Telephone: Area Code 617-876-5855, or 864-6900 extension 2731. United States Mail subscription rates: \$4.50 for one year, \$8.00 for two years.

Friday, April 10, 1970