Misovec Criticism of Card Key System Is Flawed

While I am not a proponent of the card key system, I am neither an apologist nor argument. Misovec’s analysis of the system (“MIT Card Puts Student Safety at Risk,” Nov. 18) is flawed in several fundamental respects.

If anyone is to fight against the card key system, I am not part of that company. The argument about the monetary problems of the card key system is solid. The argument that it is not compatible with any existing systems is also sound. However, Misovec’s analysis does not take into account the possibility of a future system that would be able to accommodate the card key system. This is the case with any debit card, even those that are not compatible with any existing systems.

The card key system has the potential to be more secure than metal keys. It is not at all surprising that we will have to carry our Ids and one key. The attempt at jimmy doors enough. The attempt at jimmy doors enough.

The argument about the monetary problems of the system is not whether the card key system is flawed, but never compares to the system. The argument is not whether the card key system has flaws, but whether the system is more secure than metal keys. The attempt at jimmy doors enough.

Finally, Misovec is concerned that students will have to carry both a card and keys for interior doors. Again, this is not a change. Before the card key system, we had to carry our Ids and two keys. Now we only need to carry one Id and one key. The attempt at jimmy doors enough.