The plans under consideration by an administration committee to renovate Senior House and East Campus into graduate dormitories raise several important questions about how the administration makes decisions that affect student life. These plans would definitely affect the lives of members of the MIT community. While Senior House and East Campus may fare poorly compared to other dormitories during dormitory polls, there is no way to conclude that there is no demand for housing on the east side of campus. Most residents are currently satisfied with living in these dormitories, and many would find it involving to move to west campus at the time when the dormitories are more than ready to be completely fit into some master plan, and their residents are more than just playing cards to be dealt out to various campus buildings. A minimum can only result in anger, protest, and hurt feelings. Students in particular both reside and opinion editors.
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Letters to The Editor

Tokelaw Deserves More Blame for Cyprus

During the past few weeks there has been a discussion in the columns of The Tech about the Cyprus issue. My article in the recent issue, "Some Lessons from Cyprus," suggested that the Turkish invasion in Cyprus was a "biased opinion on Cyprus Issue Bode III for Peace," Oct. 28) does not seem to be as outrageous, provoking, or deliberately tempered as the guest column by Kerim lever, "Lenient Tolerance" (Sept. 25), which mentions. In particular I object to the following: The guest column mentions that Turkey's "reflection of the reality of the situation in Cyprus as being much more favorable" is a fact which is "irrefutable." I accept this "unbiased" point of view, but I think that it is still misleading and fails itself to reflect the reality of the situation in Cyprus and be objective, as it implicitly claims.

The purpose of this letter is to discuss the Turkish invasion in Cyprus. Kerim kerim acknowledges that the "interception of the Turkish army" was not a planned invasion, but is based on the assumption that the "genocide of Turkish-Cypriots by some extremists" is the cause of the invasion. Kerim kerim also accepts the "unbiased" point of view, I think that any objective person would still have doubts about the particular way Turkey chose "to intervene" and divide Cyprus into two parts.

In fact, Turkey has already planned the invasion in Cyprus and has been funding the so-called "Turkish minority" to attack the Cypriots. The invasion was not planned in 1956, but is based on the assumption that the "genocide of Turkish-Cypriots by some extremists" is the cause of the invasion. Kerim kerim also accepts the "unbiased point of view". I think that any objective person would still have doubts about the particular way Turkey chose "to intervene" and divide Cyprus into two parts.

In 1956, the Turkish-Cypriot leader F. Kutchuk submitted a map to the Turkish Cypriot plans proposing a division of Cyprus to North and South. Since the 1956 Turkish invasion deviated from the 1956 plan in minor details, I have trouble accepting the argument that the agreement was not planned. On the other hand, the current Turkish-Cypriot leader Denkally himself had criticized the "author's assumptions".

Denkally had criticized the "author's assumptions". The purpose of this letter is to discuss the Turkish invasion in Cyprus. Kerim kerim acknowledges that the "interception of the Turkish army" was not a planned invasion, but is based on the assumption that the "genocide of Turkish-Cypriots by some extremists" is the cause of the invasion. Kerim kerim also accepts the "unbiased point of view". I think that any objective person would still have doubts about the particular way Turkey chose "to intervene" and divide Cyprus into two parts.

In fact, Turkey has already planned the invasion in Cyprus and has been funding the so-called "Turkish minority" to attack the Cypriots. The invasion was not planned in 1956, but is based on the assumption that the "genocide of Turkish-Cypriots by some extremists" is the cause of the invasion. Kerim kerim also accepts the "unbiased point of view". I think that any objective person would still have doubts about the particular way Turkey chose "to intervene" and divide Cyprus into two parts.

In 1956, the Turkish-Cypriot leader F. Kutchuk submitted a map to the Turkish Cypriot plans proposing a division of Cyprus to North and South. Since the 1956 Turkish invasion deviated from the 1956 plan in minor details, I have trouble accepting the argument that the agreement was not planned. On the other hand, the current Turkish-Cypriot leader Denkally himself had criticized the "author's assumptions".

Denkally had criticized the "author's assumptions". The purpose of this letter is to discuss the Turkish invasion in Cyprus. Kerim kerim acknowledges that the "interception of the Turkish army" was not a planned invasion, but is based on the assumption that the "genocide of Turkish-Cypriots by some extremists" is the cause of the invasion. Kerim kerim also accepts the "unbiased point of view". I think that any objective person would still have doubts about the particular way Turkey chose "to intervene" and divide Cyprus into two parts.

In fact, Turkey has already planned the invasion in Cyprus and has been funding the so-called "Turkish minority" to attack the Cypriots. The invasion was not planned in 1956, but is based on the assumption that the "genocide of Turkish-Cypriots by some extremists" is the cause of the invasion. Kerim kerim also accepts the "unbiased point of view". I think that any objective person would still have doubts about the particular way Turkey chose "to intervene" and divide Cyprus into two parts.

In 1956, the Turkish-Cypriot leader F. Kutchuk submitted a map to the Turkish Cypriot plans proposing a division of Cyprus to North and South. Since the 1956 Turkish invasion deviated from the 1956 plan in minor details, I have trouble accepting the argument that the agreement was not planned. On the other hand, the current Turkish-Cypriot leader Denkally himself had criticized the "author's assumptions".