Theft of Ballot Box by Protest Group Forces Delay in UA Election Results

By Reuven M. Lerner

When the results of the Undergraduate Association election finally came in—a little over a month late—Shally Bansal '93 and David J. Kessler '94 were declared Undergraduate Association president and vice president, respectively.

On March 11, the election results were disputed when two men tried to take the ballot box. According to witnesses, a spectator witnessed Hans C. Godfrey '93, UA Floor Leader, grab the box from the men before they were able to take it away. The thwarted thieves used force in their attempt to take the ballot box, Godfrey said. The ballots were finally recovered about a month later, after an anonymous caller told Campus Police that the ballots were in Lobby 7. The ballots, which were originally taken by Campus Police as evidence, were eventually returned to the UA.

Candidates running in the March elections were upset to hear that the ballots had been stolen. "Basically, I think it stinks," said Mark A. Sher-rod '94, who ran in the election, and "I think it was a bad joke."

However, the team of Stephen A. Rinehart '93, who ran in the election, agreed. "It's going to kick up a lot of residue. I have but I also find it desperately dis- ingenuous. They're trying to make a statement, there are many ways to make it, and that's not the right way to do it," she said.

Students whose ballots had been stolen were given the opportunity to recall their votes at a special election on March 13. Nearly 500 of the close to 700 students whose ballots were lost had voted in the special election by March 17, and the special election winners voted later at the UA office. After the UA Council approved the use of ballots from the special election, it declared Banasa and her running mate, David J. Kessler '94, the winners.

When asked what services he felt a democratic student government should provide, the caller indicated that "Student's Revolutionary Government is not the right way to do it, that the SA's policy is not the right way to do it, that the SA's policy is not the right way to do it." The caller described the theft as the first stage in a revolution against the UA. The caller said the theft was a "not effective," and that the caller would not be involved in the revolution. However, the caller had no plans to parallel an effective government.

The caller described the theft as the first stage in a revolution against the UA, but refused to discuss "what would be the consequences of the theft," the caller said. The caller said that the theft would be the first step in a revolution against the UA. But then it would become clear that there is a revolution against the UA, and it would be clear that there is a revolution against the UA.

The caller said that if the theft was not effective, the caller would take a second step. "Given that I know at least a couple of people didn't come forward the same way we did, I think I'll be able to cut that down to $500," Lipton said.
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Defense Secretary Les Aspin PhD '66 also acknowledged the role of women's involvement in environmental monitoring. "Many areas of research, including the role of women's involvement in environmental monitoring, are often overlooked," he said. "This role is important because it helps to ensure that women are included in these areas of research."