ILGs Look for New Members To Offset Poor Fall Rush

By Joey Marquez

A break with tradition, a number of independent living groups (ILGs) and their representatives brought together by the Undergraduate Association (UA) election commission wrote: "Postering regulations must be followed. Violations will be severely penalized by the commission."

ILGs are undergoing a reorganization this spring. Several factors contributed to the decisions, including an unsuccessful rush last fall, according to several ILG rush chairs.

"We had a poor fall rush. We received fewer pledges," said Ekrem S. Seymen '94, ejaculation theta rush chair. He said that since the rush is ongoing, it is difficult to predict what the results will be. ET hosted a party in December as well as numerous events during IAP in order to meet a large number of people. Seymen said that this year, "The Women's Independent Living Group also had a spring rush. For many of the same reasons. "We didn't have a successful rush this year and we had some people graduate after the fall term," said Suchita Natarajan '95, WILG rush chair. "We had a lot of space, so we decided to rush. At the end of WILG's rush, which was held during IAP, three bids were extended, all of which were accepted."

Natarajan could not identify any reasons for the poor outcome of rush last fall, and indicated that it is difficult to pinpoint any specific causes. "It really was a result from class to class," she said. This year, "a lot of freshmen wanted to live in dorms." Both Seymen and Natarajan said that even though living groups were rushing in the spring, the rules set by the IFC for Residence/Orientation Week still applied. After their agreement, everyone would abide by these rules.


The Women's Independent Living Group also had a spring rush for many of the same reasons. "We didn't have a successful rush this year and we had some people graduate after the fall term," said Suchita Natarajan '95, WILG rush chair. "We had a lot of space, so we decided to rush. At the end of WILG's rush, which was held during IAP, three bids were extended, all of which were accepted."

Natarajan could not identify any reasons for the poor outcome of rush last fall, and indicated that it is difficult to pinpoint any specific causes. "It really was a result from class to class," she said. This year, "a lot of freshmen wanted to live in dorms." Both Seymen and Natarajan said that even though living groups were rushing in the spring, the rules set by the IFC for Residence/Orientation Week still applied. After their agreement, everyone would abide by these rules. But despite what ILG rush chairs said, Neal H. Deeve, said the future, "People think [MIT] is a great place to live," added LeBourgeois. "A lot of freshmen wanted to live in dorms." cups allad to everyone would abide by these rules.