LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

AEPi must recognize its sexual discrimination

In Robert A. Rich '90's letter to the editor ("AEPi justifiably recognized by national fraternities", May 1), he states that "any student who wishes to be a part of the [Alpha Epsilon Pi] tradition and ideals is considered for membership without discrimination." Apparently he is blind to the fact that his fraternity continues to exclude women from membership.

At the AEPi recruitment meeting on May 1, the AEPi regional representative, Andrew S. Born, stated that "there are two things AEPi does not allow: haz- ing and dogs." Apparently he has forgotten that his fraternity does not allow women either.

At that same meeting, a Boston University AEPi member stated that getting AEPi brothers laid was part of the fraternity experience. Whether or not this was said in jest, both the statement and its acceptance by the other AEPi brothers present at the meeting constitute an avowed disrespect for women and a condoning of sexual abuse.

I am not opposed to the existence of single-sex, self-selected residences, nor to their being institute-approved housing for freshmen, although this will no doubt be the subject of future debate. However, AEPi representatives have stated both in advertisements and at their recruitment meetings that they are primarily a social organization, rather than a residence as most MIT fraternities are. This leads me to believe that their discrimination on the basis of sex is purely founded on a hatred of women and must not be tolerated by the MIT community.

I feel that the male establishment of which I am a part has a moral duty to examine to what extent our male-only institutions deny women the equal opportunity they deserve. The case of AEPi is particular. I am extremely dis- appointed by the organizations' ignorance of their own participa- tion in sexual discrimination, and their willingness to confront this important social issue.

It would be a tragedy if AEPi fails to acknowledge its place as part of the male-dominated American power structure, espe- cially now as it has the opportu- nity to redefine its identity. I urge all prospective members of AEPi to evaluate their willingness to participate in an exclusionary and sexistly sexist social organi- zation.

Olaf Hellman 'G

AEPi proves to be sexist and immature

We are appalled at the behavior and comments of the Alpha Epsilon Pi representatives at their recent recruitment session ("Reps speak of AEPi benefits,") May 4).

What type of men is AEPi trying to recruit by promising prospective members "access to sexual partners" to help to "get [them] laid," demonstrates his lack of understanding that women are entitled to the same things AEPi does not allow: haz- ing and dogs.

We are appalled at the behav- iors "access to sexual partners" to help to "get [them] laid." Steven H. Baden '92's attempt to justify AEPi's sexual toys. We are appalled at the behav- iors AEPi does not allow. haz- ing and dogs.

I am not opposed to the exis- tence of single-sex, self-selected residences, nor to their being institute-approved housing for freshmen, although this will no doubt be the subject of future debate. However, AEPi representa- tives have stated both in advertis- ements and at their recruitment meetings that they are primarily a social organization, rather than a residence as most MIT fraterni- ties are. This leads me to believe that their discrimination on the basis of sex is purely founded on a hatred of women and must not be tolerated by the MIT community.

I feel that the male establish- ment of which I am a part has a moral duty to examine to what extent our male-only institutions deny women the equal opportunity they deserve. The case of AEPi is particular. I am extremely dis- appointed by the organizations' ignorance of their own participa- tion in sexual discrimination, and their willingness to confront this important social issue.

It would be a tragedy if AEPi fails to acknowledge its place as part of the male-dominated American power structure, espe- cially now as it has the opportu- nity to redefine its identity. I urge all prospective members of AEPi to evaluate their willingness to participate in an exclusionary and sexistly sexist social organi- zation.

Olaf Hellman 'G

AEPi proves to be sexist and immature

We are appalled at the behav- ior and comments of the Alpha Epsilon Pi representatives at their recent recruitment session ("Reps speak of AEPi benefits,") May 4).

What type of men is AEPi trying to recruit by promising prospec- tives "access to sexual partners" and help to "get [them] laid?" Steven H. Baden '92's attempt to justify AEPi's sexual toys. We are appalled at the behav- iors we are appalled at the behav- iors AEPi does not allow. haz- ing and dogs.

I am not opposed to the exis- tence of single-sex, self-selected residences, nor to their being institute-approved housing for freshmen, although this will no doubt be the subject of future debate. However, AEPi representa- tives have stated both in advertis- ements and at their recruitment meetings that they are primarily a social organization, rather than a residence as most MIT fraterni- ties are. This leads me to believe that their discrimination on the basis of sex is purely founded on a hatred of women and must not be tolerated by the MIT community.

I feel that the male establish- ment of which I am a part has a moral duty to examine to what extent our male-only institutions deny women the equal opportunity they deserve. The case of AEPi is particular. I am extremely dis- appointed by the organizations' ignorance of their own participa- tion in sexual discrimination, and their willingness to confront this important social issue.

It would be a tragedy if AEPi fails to acknowledge its place as part of the male-dominated American power structure, espe- cially now as it has the opportu- nity to redefine its identity. I urge all prospective members of AEPi to evaluate their willingness to participate in an exclusionary and sexistly sexist social organi- zation.

Olaf Hellman 'G
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We are appalled at the behav- ior and comments of the Alpha Epsilon Pi representatives at their recent recruitment session ("Reps speak of AEPi benefits,") May 4).

What type of men is AEPi trying to recruit by promising prospec- tives "access to sexual partners" and help to "get [them] laid?" Steven H. Baden '92's attempt to justify AEPi's sexual toys. We are appalled at the behav- iors we are appalled at the behav- iors AEPi does not allow. haz- ing and dogs.

I am not opposed to the exis- tence of single-sex, self-selected residences, nor to their being institute-approved housing for freshmen, although this will no doubt be the subject of future debate. However, AEPi representa- tives have stated both in advertis- ements and at their recruitment meetings that they are primarily a social organization, rather than a residence as most MIT fraterni- ties are. This leads me to believe that their discrimination on the basis of sex is purely founded on a hatred of women and must not be tolerated by the MIT community.

I feel that the male establish- ment of which I am a part has a moral duty to examine to what extent our male-only institutions deny women the equal opportunity they deserve. The case of AEPi is particular. I am extremely dis- appointed by the organizations' ignorance of their own participa- tion in sexual discrimination, and their willingness to confront this important social issue.

It would be a tragedy if AEPi fails to acknowledge its place as part of the male-dominated American power structure, espe- cially now as it has the opportu- nity to redefine its identity. I urge all prospective members of AEPi to evaluate their willingness to participate in an exclusionary and sexistly sexist social organi- zation.

Olaf Hellman 'G
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We are appalled at the behav- ior and comments of the Alpha Epsilon Pi representatives at their recent recruitment session ("Reps speak of AEPi benefits,") May 4).

What type of men is AEPi trying to recruit by promising prospec- tives "access to sexual partners" and help to "get [them] laid?" Steven H. Baden '92's attempt to justify AEPi's sexual toys. We are appalled at the behav- iors we are appalled at the behav- iors AEPi does not allow. haz- ing and dogs.

I am not opposed to the exis- tence of single-sex, self-selected residences, nor to their being institute-approved housing for freshmen, although this will no doubt be the subject of future debate. However, AEPi representa- tives have stated both in advertis- ements and at their recruitment meetings that they are primarily a social organization, rather than a residence as most MIT fraterni- ties are. This leads me to believe that their discrimination on the basis of sex is purely founded on a hatred of women and must not be tolerated by the MIT community.

I feel that the male establish- ment of which I am a part has a moral duty to examine to what extent our male-only institutions deny women the equal opportunity they deserve. The case of AEPi is particular. I am extremely dis- appointed by the organizations' ignorance of their own participa- tion in sexual discrimination, and their willingness to confront this important social issue.

It would be a tragedy if AEPi fails to acknowledge its place as part of the male-dominated American power structure, espe- cially now as it has the opportu- nity to redefine its identity. I urge all prospective members of AEPi to evaluate their willingness to participate in an exclusionary and sexistly sexist social organi- zation.
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I am not opposed to the exis- tence of single-sex, self-selected residences, nor to their being institute-approved housing for freshmen, although this will no doubt be the subject of future debate. However, AEPi representa- tives have stated both in advertis- ements and at their recruitment meetings that they are primarily a social organization, rather than a residence as most MIT fraterni-