Thief of uniform was harassment

(EDITOR'S NOTE: THE TECH RECEIVED A COPY OF THE FOLLOWING LETTER.)

Dear Criminal,

It was Wednesday afternoon when I finally discovered what you had done. I went to my lock-er at Alumni Pool and found that you had removed my lock, stolen a few items, and left the door wide open. You stole my Air Force ROTC uniform. You left my shoes, T-shirt, and swimming trunks.

If you stole the uniform because you are desperately in need of clothing, then I'm glad I was able to help you. I'm afraid the uniform isn't very comfortable, though. I only caution you to remove the name tag. After all, the uniform isn't really 'mine'; it belongs to the government, and by stealing it you have committed a federal offense.

If, on the other hand, you stole my uniform to show your disapproval of the military, I cannot be as compassionate. I am sad when I realize that neither this letter, nor any other reasonable argument, will dissuade your actions. Your attitude towards the military is as narrow and shortsighted as the very attitude you criticize in others.

In my three and a half years at MIT, I have witnessed some very disturbing forms of discrimination. A homosexual student was harassed when someone slipped burning slips of paper into his room. Women have been subjected to verbal and physical harassment. Perhaps in your mind, it is but a small step from here.

Women have been subjected to verbal and physical harassment. Perhaps in your mind, it is but a small step from here.

Opinion

Saeger insulted American Catholics

To the Editor:

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that what-ever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

To the Undergraduate Associate Council: I was in last meeting on Wednesday, Oct. 14, to approve the details of operation of the UA Convenience Store as proposed by the UA Execu-tive Board. In approving this proposal, the council also approved the appointment of Jose A. Gonzales '89 as the store manager.
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The Pope is a flesh and blood man. He is not infallible. He is not above the Church — he is part of it.

I ask you, Mr. Saeger: What do you really mean when you speak of the Pope's teachings? Do you mean the official teachings? Do you mean that if the Pope says something, you and all other Catholics are required to accept it? Do you mean that if the Pope says something, you must accept it or you are not a true Catholic?

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.

I do not know what impelled E. J. Saeger G ("Work defeat hurts everyone," Oct. 19) to compare American Catholics' opinions about the Pope's teachings to Americans' attitudes about the Constitution, but I believe the analogy to be totally inap-propriate.

I take exception to Saeger's statement that one is not truly Catholic unless one accepts all the teachings of the Pope, that one who opposes some teachings is not a true Catholic, and that whatever the Pope says is right and wrong for all Catholics.