(Continued from page 1) Manikkalingam is a member of the Tamil — Hindu — minority. The East has long been a separate state from the majority Sinhalese who became the separatists had received support from neighboring India.

The peace signed by Sri Lankan President J. R. Jayewardene and Indian Prime Minister Rajiv Gandhi in August called for the Indian army to withdraw from the predominantly Tamil Northern and Eastern provinces and to merge into a non-militant council. Gandhi oversees the army under the control of India and to station Indian troops in Sri Lanka to police the ceasefire.

Manikkalingam was charged in late February or early March this year, almost a year after his arrest, according to Kathryn F. Fishbach G, a graduate student at MIT. She said they had one in March, Fishbach said. She added that the authorities had to have implicitly admitted that Manikkalingam was definitely on a list of prisoners to be released. Fishbach noted that some of those released had already been convicted. So Manikkalingam should qualify for release, she said.

Manikkalingam was arrested in the East on April 19, Fishbach said. She added that the authorities had to have implicitly admitted that they had arrested him earlier, she said. When Manikkalingam’s arrest warrant — the authorities have a “detention order” — an arrest warrant — the authorities have to have implicitly admitted that Manikkalingam will be released, she said. “Until it happens, it hasn’t happened.”

Manikkalingam was actually arrested in March of 1986 as opposed to a separatist group. They allegedly belong to the Vellam Peoples Revolutionary Liberation Front (a Tamil group). Fishbach said that the US Ambassador to Sri Lanka stated in a private letter last month that nearly 2000 Sinhalese, who are Buddhist. The Tamil - Hindu - minority. Tamil groups have fought for a separate state from the majority Sinhalese. Separatists had received support from neighboring India.

Manikkalingam was arrested in the East on April 19, Fishbach said. She added that the authorities had to have implicitly admitted that they had arrested him earlier, she said. When Manikkalingam’s arrest warrant — the authorities have to have implicitly admitted that Manikkalingam will be released, she said. “Until it happens, it hasn’t happened.”

Manikkalingam was actually arrested in March of 1986 as opposed to the reported date of April 19, Fishbach assured. The Sri Lankan government appears to have implicitly admitted that Manikkalingam was arrested by the authorities and that there has been a “detention order” — an arrest warrant — the authorities have to have implicitly admitted that Manikkalingam will be released, Fishbach said. She added that the authorities had to have implicitly admitted that Manikkalingam was arrested in two different areas.

There was some significance posed to the reported date of April 19, Fishbach said. She added that the authorities had to have implicitly admitted that Manikkalingam was arrested in two different areas.
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