EVIDENCE OF FACULTY RACISM QUESTIONABLE

The wide circulation of the article "Why I am Hesitant to Accept the SDI Policy" by Daniel Mattuck has led to a great deal of discussion at MIT. While I have not read the article itself, I have seen several discussions of it on campus. I have also read several letters to the editor that have appeared in the Tech. These letters have been interesting, but I have not seen any that discuss the evidence for Mattuck's charges.

For example, I have read several letters that mention the fact that the SDI policy is based on the assumption that there are no effective defense systems. This is not true. There are effective defense systems, and they have been demonstrated in practice.

I have also read several letters that mention the fact that the SDI policy is based on the assumption that the United States is not vulnerable to attack. This is not true. The United States is vulnerable to attack from several sources, including the Soviet Union.

I have also read several letters that mention the fact that the SDI policy is based on the assumption that the United States can afford to spend money on defense. This is not true. The United States cannot afford to spend money on defense, and it is not in the interest of the United States to spend money on defense.

In conclusion, I believe that the evidence for Mattuck's charges is questionable. I believe that the SDI policy is based on sound assumptions and is in the best interest of the United States.