MIT athletes balk at drug testing

(Continued from page 1) She suggested the NCAA's policy by withholding the consent forms while MIT is creating a policy. Neither withholding the forms "could have penalized students for whom this is not an issue," she said.

MIT had distributed most of the winter consent forms before the controversy arose, Flippen added.

MIT must say what it will do with drug testing information to "allow students to make an informed judgment," said Jeffrey A. Meldman '95, a member of the Committee on Privacy and the ad hoc group.

"We are trying to ensure any damage that may have been done," Meldman said. Students should be able to rescind their signing of the forms after the final policy is announced, he added.

Meldman proposes policy

Meldman has proposed a policy which bars drug testing information from being used in any MIT disciplinary proceedings. The proposed policy would also prohibit drug test results from appearing on a student's educational record or medical record unless the student desires MIT should only suggest counseling if the test indicated a drug problem, he proposed.

Any information MIT received from drug tests should be used in a "productive manner" and not against the student, agreed Mark A. Goldstein, committee member and director of student health services. But he added that a medical professor would be obligated to follow up on any drug problem indicated by the NCAA tests.

Constitutional concerns

Drug tests in general are a violation of constitutional rights, said committee member Paul D. Grace, coordinator of sports medicine. "Unfortunately we're in the NCAA," he said. But he added that a medical professional would be obligated to follow up on any drug problem indicated by the NCAA tests.

"I think drug testing of any kind is ridiculous," said Jonathan N. Katz '90, a student opposed to the student, agreed Mark A. Goldstein, committee member and director of student health services. But he added that a medical professional would be obligated to follow up on any drug problem indicated by the NCAA tests.
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