To the Editor:

I am writing in response to a letter written by Georgia M. Nagle entitled "Sodomy ruling is justified" in the August 12, 1986, issue of The Tech.

Ms. Nagle points out that her Judeo-Christian religious background, based on the Holy Bible, is the basis for agreeing with the Supreme Court's decision upholding the Georgia sodomy law. She contends that this law prevents homosexuals from having sex which is a "perversion" of God's laws.

I happen to come from the same background as Ms. Nagle and have to disagree with her belief that homosexuality is unnatural and contrary to civilized law. Also, the Supreme Court's decision makes these same practices unnatural between married heterosexuals.

At a museum in Plymouth, Massachusetts, there is a copy of similar laws that were based on the same beliefs for the state of Connecticut. The penalties for homosexuality were death.

The punishment for homosexuality was death. The other "civilized" laws based on the Bible included the death penalty for infidelity and children who disobey their parents!

Is there ever an argument for separation of church and state, these laws are the Bible. The Bible would make all forms of sexual contact outside of heterosexual marriage illegal. Only procreative intercourse would be legal.

A person who decides not to marry would have to live out their life without experiencing any form of sexual conduct. Even masturbation is an unnatural act on par with homosexuality according to the Bible.

Ms. Nagle mentions AIDS in support of her argument. She seems to think that AIDS is spread through "unhealthy" homosexual acts, which in fast-tracked heterosexual relationships practices are an efficient way to transmit the disease. Also, on a global scale, the disease has been and still remains primarily among homosexuals.