Iau Beta Pi reports to CEUE

By Salman Akhtar

A committee of the Iau Beta Pi, the engineering honor society, recommended to the students to shorten its freshman pass-fail system to only one term.

The proposal was one of several recommendations made in TRB’s Undergraduate Issues Committee report on the MIT engineering education, submitted on May 5 to Professor Jack L. Kolber, chairman, of the Committee on Engineering Undergraduate Education (CEUE). Freshman at MIT are presently on a “pass/grade” system where failing grades do not appear on the student’s record. The committee recommended that an “A, B, C, D, no grade” system be used for freshmen during the spring semester.

“Tuition should be time well spent,” the report said. “The excessive time required for problem sets is not commensurate with their effectiveness as a learning tool. The lecture problems set/last system offers little opportunity for professor to student interaction and the problem sets are rarely re-examined.”

The report suggested that problem sets should be shortened, and they should be used only to determine the grade.

CUAFA postpones EECs decision

By Robert E. Moshkin

The committee on Undergraduate Admissions and Financial Aid (CUAFA) postponed Friday a decision on whether to restrict this summer’s freshmen from gaining admission to the Chinese University of Science and Technology (CUA).

The committee will make its decision at its next meeting on May 27, said CUAFA chairman Kenneth R. Manning.

CUAFA will ask the faculty at its May 21 meeting to extend for another two years the commitment of admission restrictions.

Director of Admissions Michael C. Behake said he was against implementing restrictions for the Class of 1991. “We’ve managed to charge the same that the students are not all restricted from these restrictions.”

“I want to see what kind of things happen in the next two weeks that can prompt us to more serious and attention to the problem,” Manning continued.

“CUAFA will look at the whole situation,” he added. “Implementing new rules may not be discriminatory, but if it were, they wouldn’t need a committee. They could do it with a computer.”

Two years ago, the faculty empowered CUAFA to determine each year through 1986 whether to restrict EECs enrollment if sufficient enrollment is available to continue.

CUAFA will ask the faculty at its May 21 meeting to extend for another two years the faculty’s decision on the 1990-1991 EECs enrollment.

Moser plans for meetings

By Akbar Merchant

UA Undergraduate Association (UA) President Bryan R. Moser ’87 said he will work in his 1986-87 term in setting the role of students in setting policy for immigration, student academic services, and long-term changes in Residence Orientation Week and the MIT Committee.

Moser wants to make a “very concrete proposal” on each of these issues by the spring of 1987.

“Can’t underestimate our role as students at MIT,” he said, “I think that real student government needs to be in and not respected by students because of its inactivity. We’ve seen only a little bit of improvement in the past year and will make much more changes that will please students and very helpful to students.”

Moser plans to form a group of administration, faculty, and students that will meet every month to discuss campus issues.

“This group is not designed to be a formal policy group,” he said. “It should give the the administration a better perspective of student concerns through open-ended, honest, direct discussion.”

The purpose of such a group is to introduce policies while they are being planned and of stupendous proportions,” Moser said.

“Student groups are not failures if one issue is to dominate the discussions, he said.

The idea of a discussion group does not new, Moser said.

Meeting schedule for
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