Everyday is a holiday in MA

Welcome back from spring break. MIT has been away for a week, I'm already looking forward to the next holiday: Parents' Day. In memory of the patrons (who fought for revolution war) and not who played in the Super Bowl, this holiday is celebrated on April 21 and 22.

March 5 - a day most of us were sitting in classes - was a day off from school for Boston and Cambridge children. The anniversary of the Boston Massacre was declared a holiday back in 1932. The Manual for the General Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts lists 11 legal holidays, and a few additional ones for Suffolk County. The Commonwealth observes New Year's Day, Martin Luther King Jr.'s Birthday, Patriots' Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veterans' Day, Christmas Day, Suffolk County's Thanksgiving Day, and Earth Day. Suffolk County also has a number of local holidays including an annual Fire Prevention Week in March and Earth Day in April.

In addition to the 11 legal holidays, there are 95 "Proclamations issued by the Governor" and an additional one by the Governor not required by law but customarily issued by the Governor. In this list of holidays, weeks and months for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and two Commonwealth and two Massachusetts lists 11 legal holidays for Commonwealth and two additional ones for Suffolk County. The Commonwealth observes New Year's Day, Martin Luther King Jr.'s Birthday, Patriots' Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veterans' Day, Christmas Day, Suffolk County's Thanksgiving Day, and Earth Day. Suffolk County also has a number of local holidays including an annual Fire Prevention Week in March and Earth Day in April.

In memory of the patriots (who died in the battle) and an annual holiday, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts lists 11 legal holidays for Commonwealth and two additional ones for Suffolk County. The Commonwealth observes New Year's Day, Martin Luther King Jr.'s Birthday, Patriots' Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veterans' Day, Christmas Day, Suffolk County's Thanksgiving Day, and Earth Day. Suffolk County also has a number of local holidays including an annual Fire Prevention Week in March and Earth Day in April.

To the Editor:

I feel as if I've been badly miscalculated in "Fatal errors: HESS proposal" (The Tech, March 21).

Your reporter did reproduce exactly what I said, but the context supplied is absolutely wrong. When I say "don't change subject titles, change teachers," I was referring not to HUM-D courses (which I think are reasonably happy), but to the realm of the practical implementation of Jack L. Kerouac's admirable objectives for the education of the engineer of the future.

Most of my ideas should be easy to accept: our faculties should be inspiring; courses should have great content — or more broadly, good educational goals should be embodied in the classes and the curriculum; there should be some meaningful discussion between faculty and students; professors should be truly role models, etc.

What may be more controversial is the idea that we can't simply legislate improvement. What we need is to consider systematically what it means to be a liberal education and then to consider how best to implement this.

To the Editor:

I was very gratified to notice that I heard a leftist group put posters over much of the conserv- 

诟论 students' display in the hallway of the dormitory on March 19. Gratitude because this, along with the tearing down of the pro-

SDI posters, shows the utter hypocrisy of the MIT leftist groups far better than the conservative groups ever could.

The left claims to be in the vanguard of liberation, demanding the right to be heard, so let us hear someone say: "We, the left, want the right to be heard!" Better to have a group expressing its views than courses (with which I am reasonably happy), but to the realm of the practical implementa-
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