Ashdown debate ineffective

To the Editor:

I attended the Institute Colloquium on Apartheid held by the US South African goods. The first point raised was the issue of discussion period. The topic of discussion was the illegitimacy, immorality of absolute power. Professor Zevin and Prof. Roy Schoedan argued for and against absolute power, respectively. In this short letter, I would like to convey the way we (the audience) conducted the discussion period.
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