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PROMOTIONAL DISPLAY

By Andy Thie

A New York company will take "exception" if it does not recover a promotional display which was stolen from Lobby 10 last week.

The Lectra-Sepia Committee (LSC) used the exhibit to promote "Rock and Roll Two Tone," a multi-media review of the last 25 years of rock music, according to Chairman Jim Cotthen G. The committee set up the display on Sept. 22. The display was taken between 9 p.m. on Sept. 22 and 10 a.m. the following morning.

"The value of the display is approximately $500," according to Carl Schwedock, account coordinator of Sponsor Winners International (SWI), the company which supplied the exhibit. "We will take some serious action if we don't get it back," she said. "We are not taking it lightly.

The exhibit included several oversized glossy pictures, Cotthen said. "It's a general rule we do not display advertising if we can't do anything better."

Schwedock was critical of the lack of security surrounding the exhibit. "The display was in a fairly open area without supervision. It was fairly vulnerable to theft, Schwedock added, noting that other carousels stored the display near offices or stores. "We're in the process of determining what measures will be taken," Schwedock said. "A decision should be made by the end of next week, and if the photos are not recovered, then the exhibit will be carried out.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

The biggest loss was about $1000 on each subsequent year, Holtzman said, but AP would want the hardware "frameless" and "costing about $5000 this year," she said.

LSC assumed the theft was committed by pornography - "Urchins on campus or students," as Schwedock put it. "The chances of getting the display back diminish every day," Cotthen said. Yet LSC still has no clue as to who committed the theft, Schwedock said. "Our primary concern is to find the display.

LSC suspected that students "are probably responsible for the theft," Cotthen said, but LSC suspected that students would be more interested in it. "Who else is going to want it?" Cotthen asked. "I can't imagine who someone would want the hardware [framework supporting the pictures]," Schwedock said. Since the displays were made in a group of eight, reproducing a single set of photos would cost more than the value of the original exhibit, she explained.

The chances of getting the display back diminish every day," Cotthen said. Yet LSC still has no clue as to who committed the theft, Schwedock said. "Our primary concern is to find the display.
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"Another panelist opposed the referendum. (Continued from page 1)"... "The referendum was a form of censorship," Kate Millet, a feminist writer, explained that the measure would "wipe out the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added.

LSC has not determined what its response would be in that event, according to Cotthen. "The first thing we would be to contact the law office to determine who is liable," Cotthen said. "It was not mentioned when the display was given to LSC, he added. 