together ignored more than half of MIT's student body.

In my first column as editor in chief, I committed this newspaper to become one for the graduate, undergraduate, faculty, men, women, international, minority and gay students who make MIT such a diverse campus.

Ideally, we would not let ourselves suffer from tunnel vision. Ideally, in our coverage, we would be sensitive to all students and all issues.

Things are easier said than done. To take responsibility, however, and to maintain a certain integrity, the newspaper must take action to uphold its objectives.

So when I apologize, it's really not enough. Action is far more revealing than words.

There is, for example, a need to inform the community of the problems that graduate students face in housing, academics and social life.

As this half-mark of the volume, I find that work lies ahead of us, not behind us.

As chairman of the Tech editorial board, I call on both the UA and the GSC to give a role in this process. Both the UA and the GSC should share the responsibility to all students.

The Tech's suggestion, that the use of student representatives of the student body, to join together to direct the utilization of the space Tech could vacate.

Perhaps together, graduate and undergraduate students can succeed in developing a center where all can meet.

As chairman of the Tech editorial board, I call on both the UA and the GSC, the representative of the student body, to join together to direct the utilization of the space Tech could vacate.

Perhaps together, graduate and undergraduate students can succeed in developing a center where all can meet.

To the Editor:

It was with shock that I read in Tech Talk of Sept. 11, of the passing from this world of Professor Philip Morse, for he was the kind of person who illuminated every life he touched. He certainly brightened my life when it was my privilege to be in association with him during the ten years in which he established and directed the old Computation Center in Building 26.

I knew a little about his successes as years went by, but the diversity of his expertise and accomplishments and the infinite degree to which he expanded himself, as given in his obituary, was astonishing and left me in awe.

However, the qualities which set him apart as a giant among giants were those which composed his total personality. For those who remember the TV series in which Ronald Coleman played part of a college professor -- Professor Morse was the same kind of romantic figure -- kind, some, courteous, gentle, modest, humorous, wise at heart, of the heart, not only teaching, but educating students and imparting wisdom to them as well as knowledge -- the ultimate College Professor, complemented by his most charming, devoted, and helpful wife. Professor Morse and his late beloved wife were all of these and more.

It is not surprising that he was in close association with Karl Taylor Compton, for they were two of a kind. The truly great are those who never lose their humanity or their ability to relate to all around them in a pleasant, personally caring way, no matter what their station in life -- to be able to come down to the level of lesser intellects and to be concerned about the small things of life as well as the great. I remember a pleasantry he shared with us on his return from a trip to Japan. He said he climbed Mt. Fuji, and said there is a saying in Japan, "He who visits Japan does not climb Mt. Fuji is a fool, and he who climbs it twice is twice a fool."

For those of us who believe, it is a comfort to think that Prof. Morse will still be interacting with us in some way (the communion of saints) and that he will illuminate the next life as he has illuminated this one. Of him, we can truly say, "He wist not that his face shone."

I am deeply thankful for his life, for all he contributed to the world, and the ways in which his life touched upon mine.

Georgia M. Nage
Senior Mechanical Engineering

Bexley reputation unfair

To the Editor:

Bexley's rush has generated much controversy among students and the Dean's Office this year. As Next House residents, we question how much of Bexley's unpopular among freshmen was purposely caused by its atmosphere. For the most part, campus-wide opinion is that Bexley is an undesirable place to live. This attitude is communicated to freshmen upon their arrival (which, incidentally, is a rush violation). This opinion is based on a what upperclassmen heard when they were freshmen, and b) the unorthodox appearance of both the dorm and its residents.

We know a significant number of Bexley residents and consider them to be very decent human beings. We feel that the diversity found there should be encouraged rather than stifled. Bexley should not be changed.

Christopher Heigham '87
Karl Lindner '97
Gabrielle Hecht '86