Silent Scream: the issues and analogies of abortion debate

To the Editor:

Having attended the screening of "Silent Scream" last Monday, I have found that (through no fault of the producer's) the film was inadequate for a thorough discussion, I wish to comment on what was said at the meeting. I have not yet formed an opinion on the question of whether abortion is "morally wrong" and will continue to myself whether the Constitution should be amended to so its overturner. I write.

The main speaker, Mrs. Elliot, sought to show that a fetus is not merely "a piece of tissue," "part of the mother's body," but a child with the same rights as any other human being and that abortion is therefore murder. This, I think, is one of the "inflammatory" phrases from groups using whatever language they wish.

Since the former question is frequently debated, I shall merely discuss it hereafter, before considering the latter. The speaker argued that abortion is wicked, as pointed out in the same source. It is not a question of pain in many parts of the body, a woman could suffer a-pain in the fetus. This cannot be accepted as proof the fetus is not part of the woman's body, since that argument is the brain is not either.

Mrs. Elliot rebuked arguments people who tore down those posters: If you are so certain that abortion is not a crime, then why are you afraid that the people who watch this movie will be convinced otherwise? It is so clear that every day we are inundated with one side of the story, that abortion is OK and not to be upset about the fate of the millions of aborted children. It's time we realize, really, really seriously considered the other side of the issue.

Pi Lambda Phi protests the non-alcoholic TANK competition

To the Editor:

When the brothers of Pi Lambda Phi discovered the non-alcoholic TANK competition was to be "dry" this year, there was very little interest in entering the event. However, we have been very quiet in the past college years and several members asked the brothers as a group, and not one expressed a desire to compete in the non-alcoholic grain beverage.

This year, Pi Lambda Phi will enter the competition, but it will be under protest. We would like Sigma Chi to continue receiving our congratulations, because we consider the Jimmy Fund to be a worthwhile cause.

However, the brothers of Pi Lambda Phi will not compete this year or any year in which non-alcoholic beer is served. We understand the administration's decision concerning this year's event and we hope that it is not a change in the liberal policy that has caused the competition to return to its original concept.

We would like to appeal to other competitors to follow our lead, but more important to us is that the community understand the reason for our absence.

Andrew Gamm, President of Pi Lambda Phi
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SILENT SCREAM: THE ISSUES AND ANALOGIES OF ABORTION DEBATE

To the Editor:

The citizens of this country should do their part to learn the truth about important issues, so why should we be denied this opportunity? To the person of abortion, the issue is of the utmost importance.

Mrs. Elliot agrees with Dr. Nathanson's argument against abortion, based on the argument that the woman is the legal owner of her own rights, not an inanimate machine. It became clear that it was the analogous of the violence that was not properly understood when a report of the accused was not allowed to hear arguments against abortion.

This year, political stability is cherished, extreme opinions are usually not very much compromise. This is a right and it is also known that calling abortion a "necessary evil" does not make any sense.