Moser and Tai set to run for UA
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complaining of a lack in non-Course VI. We’ll try to get [the current placements] off the top of the non-Course VI more,” she continued.

Moser regarded the layout of the Student Center. “The design of the Student Center is definitely poor, and I know the administration knows that,” Moser said. The Institute in planning changes, and said “Mary and I will be on [the changes],” he said.

Better student involvement

Tai and Moser examined the lack of student participation in government. “I think there has to be some sort of apathy around, but there is an improvement in student interest this year,” Tai said.

Moser said, “We can’t blame the students if the UA hasn’t served them in the past, so it’s very important that the students know about the UA.”

They plan to communicate UA matters to the students, “We’re going to have a bi-weekly one page ad in The Tech informing people about what’s going on with the UA, how the students can help, and ways to get feedback,” Tai said.

Moser and Tai hope to increase student awareness of UA activities. They will try to establish the Student Committee on Educational Policy’s (SCEP) Course Evaluation Guide. The committee canceled the guide this spring due to a lack of manpower. Without the SCEP guide, the students have no feedback to the faculty,” Moser noted.

Tai said the pair plans to “make the UA worthwhile for students to get involved in.”

“Most of the people I spoke to in UA said they didn’t gain anything from it,” Moser said: “There was no reason to want to join the UA.”

Diversity a plus

Tai described her team’s diversity. “We have a lot of diversity and think that’s really good,” she said. “Brian is a Theta Chi, so he is aware of fraternity problems, and I’m in a form so I think we have an understanding of the majority of campus. I also understand women’s issues, and that’s an added plus to our diversity.”

Moser does not feel being a sophomore is a disadvantage. “The fact that we are sophomores is not at all an issue here,” he declared.

Tai explained that two sophomores have been elected UAP/ Unuw in the past. She said, “We’ve been here long enough to understand the issues.”

“You can’t learn the Institute overnight,” Tai continued. As a freshman, David Henry ’88, Moser’s opponent “may not be as aware of the resources available as UA,” Moser said, “I think it’s great that a freshman is running, but I know how difficult it’s been for me to learn the Institute. It takes time.”

“1 learned a lot about how the Institute works, how decentralized the administration is,” Moser said. He is vice president of the Class of 1987. His fraternity appointed him last year to represent it in the General Assembly, the governing body of the Undergraduate Association at that time.”

“I was disappointed with my involvement,” Moser continued. “The focus of the UA was on its own structure, very little got done.”

Tai’s involvement in student government began when she joined her class’s ring committee last spring. After being selected chairman, she went to class council meetings and got a feel for the student government. I definitely felt there was a lack of involvement. “There’s a more effective way student government can be handled,” Tai said. “We’re not here to waste time.”
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