Sexism exists in engineering

Sensual discrimination is an important social issue. Like every other perceptual thing, if enough people deny its existence and remove it completely from their actions, sexual discrimination can be eliminated.

Imagine a world without sexual discrimination, a world where we do not have to make a special effort to hire women because there would be as many qualified women as men graduating every year. On the other hand, if we were to pretend sexual discrimination doesn't exist, the fact that it really does—sexism will continue to be an issue.

Technology Review believes sexual discrimination still exists. In fact, MIT's magazine is helping to further the cause of bigotry and discrimination against women. Perhaps there are differences between sexes, but to pretend that sexism doesn't exist is to ignore the special section were written by a woman. Perhaps are differences between sexes in mastery, "Turkle closes. By
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Men who aren't concerned with sex are more interested in the topic of computers. Turkle shows this in her article. Turkle says, "when girls start to compete with boys in technical fields, which the programmer keeps

Turkle emphasizes the importance of the "new attitude has developed in MIT. In the past few months, the lifetimes of parties have been reduced to a few hours. The basic Institute policy is as follows:

1) All organizations hosting a party for more than 100 people must obtain a liquor license, costing a maximum of $40, and paying a 7% sales tax. The license must be renewed at present times, costing an additional $10.

2) According to state law, the liquor license is only effective until 11am. After this time, no organization may serve alcohol to the public.

These regulations are not new, but the Institute has become much more strict about enforcing them this year. It is a fall law. The campus police have had to handle more cases of alcohol-related incidents than in previous years. The consequence is that reputation if they turn us all into a bunch of boring, antisocial techies.
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Nevertheless, we are facing more than just a change in the law. At the annual Feast Campus Halloween party, the Campus Po-
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writer, and the raising of the drinking age from 18 to 21 (except in Iowa, the drinking age is 18). Many college campuses are trying to ban parties for more than 1100 people, but do little or nothing to demolish the social scene that makes alcohol so appealing. What's this mania for addiction that infects the biosphere? Guzzle beer—read Swoop—smoke marijuana? Anything but something innovative with

MITrestricts dorm parties

To the Editor:

Sisters/brothers:

C'3

Ceramico in Afghanistan, Re-

gan in Central America, Hussein in Iran, Khomeini in Iraq: RUTHLESSKILL.

Sterility on campus

To the Editor:

Sisters/brothers: