Admissions Office may screen for EECs major

Under the proposed restrictive action, the admitted class as a whole would be selected in the manner described above, but a fourth kind of criterion, "admitted with restrictions," would be included in the process as well, as estab-
lished later.

The admissions indicators (SI and PR) are useful as rough guides to organize the overall decision process, but the Admissions Office is sensitive to the limitations of these quantitative measures. Rela-
tive academic success at MIT is de-
termined by a wide range of factors (many of which become important after students enroll at MIT) and cannot be predicted very precisely (many of which become important after students enroll at MIT) and cannot be predicted very precisely.

The Admissions Office uses the effect of restrictions on the structure of the admisions matrix.

1. Another major objective is to en-
sure that students from through-
out the admissions matrix receive restricted admissions. This principle makes it possible for the Admissions Office to effectively communicate to stu-
dents that restricted admission does not mean that the students are con-
sidered to be at the "bottom" quar-
ter of the class.

2. It is expected that admissions deci-
dion would be made independ-
ently of the designation of those who would receive restricted admission, and this restricted admissions would not affect the array of stu-
dents that normally would be ad-
mitted to the MIT. Because of concerns about timing and logistics, the designa-
tion of restrictions will be carried out as part of the Admissions pro-
cess rather than as an admissions process. Faculty are encouraged to re-
view student folders in the final decision process. As they have been in the past.

4. Information on career interests may be used in the process of des-
tiguating restrictions; judgments on background information such as the application (including academic and nonacademic information) are based on a reading of the application (including academic and nonacademic information). An applicant's stated field of interest in deciding whether or not a student receives restricted admis-
sions is not a factor in the decision.

The number of undergraduate admis-
sions majors is to get the best class possible with a broad range of interests and backgrounds into MIT. Since admissions restric-
tions will likely affect the "yield" of the number of applicants, a major concern in the process of designating those who are to receive restrictions is to avoid the broad major fields across all fields whose charac-

ters are not indicated. EECS majors, on the contrary, is from what happens cur-
rents — except that the number of EECS majors would be smaller. Whereas the desired outcome of the process can be characterized as above, but a fourth kind of criterion, "admitted with restrictions," would be included in the process as well, as estab-
lished later.
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