To the Editor:
I have read several letters and articles in The Tech in the past few weeks against the Selective Service Amendment. I would like to express an alternate viewpoint, one that I have not seen much of in print.

My perception is that there are two types of non-registrants: those who need financial aid and those who do not. I have not seen much of the former, but I have seen quite a bit of the latter. This is a very important distinction.

First of all, I do not see registration for the draft as a infringement on individual liberties nor as a particularly beneficial policy. Should the need arise to mobilize large numbers of non-registrants with aid from non-registrants, it will be a policy that I could not support. I would like to start with giving the issue a bit of thought, an idea, starting up a collective/scholarship fund for them as if their action required some sort of reward.

Having registration in place does not mean a person is only a non-registrant, it means something more than that. It means that they have the option of declaring oneself a non-registrant, to be the perception of others, perceive otherwise.
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Registrants morally bankrupt
To the editor:
In the lead article ("Gray: MIT is not unfair") of The Tech May 5 issue, Paul E. Gray '54 suggests that there may be problems for other students who complied with the registration regulation might be shunted away.

I would like to suggest that this perception is more than balanced by the perception of others, perhaps less cowed by generational threats than President Gray, that such students have in effect already made a substantial declaration of moral bankruptcy.

Richard Mlynarik '85

You've Worked Hard for Your Degree...Now Let it Work for You

You've put four years of effort into earning your college degree. Now it's time for that degree to do something for you. Your degree can open the door to one of the finest opportunities available to college graduates—Air Force Officer Training School. Competing this three-month course can put you into executive positions right away. You'll work with professional people in challenging and rewarding career areas. If you've got the degree, let us take responsibility for their actions and borrow from a bank.

Edward S. Poduska '85
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