Dean's Office questions LSC's film monopoly

(Continued from page 1) sensitive of the Dean's Office does not know something like that," said Huckelbery. He said the monopoly was granted to the Lectures Series Committee since competition between groups charging for movies would ruin all such groups' profits. Profits from LSC movies are used to fund lecture seminars and the entire MIT community, Huckelbery said.

"It's obvious to everyone where the Dean's Office's feelings in the matter are," Huckelbery said, "and they're doing their best to disrupt the showing of the film."

ASA President Kenneth M. Weens said, "I'm very surprised" by Sherron's comments in the Campus Crusade for Christ: "That doesn't do with the policy, that has to do with the Dean's Office's attitude towards sexually explicit movies, he added. Sherron said he had asked the Campus Activities Office to consult with the Association of Student Activities on the matter: "I do think that policy needs to be reconsidered.

The Association of Student Activities held an emergency meeting last night to discuss the film monopoly policy, but since the Campus Crusade for Christ said they did not plan to charge, the policy went unchallenged. Huckelbery said, "We do not want to disrupt the showing of the film."

The petition, which has been signed by over 400 members of the MIT community, including President Paul E. Gray '54, reads as follows:

We, the undersigned, as members of the MIT community wish to express our regret and disappointment that the Lecture Series Committee has unilaterally chosen to disregard the community feelings and show a sexually explicit film despite the widespread expression of indignation toward such films on the MIT campus.

Although we are not opposed in itself, we are opposed to the methods used to achieve this result, and we are opposed to the monopoly policy. We call upon the MIT community to discuss questions of accountability and responsibility and to show that it is possible to achieve a compromise that respects the rights of all groups.

Christians, he said, "It seems to be progress of a sort."}

"For me, this is a matter of conscience," Ruckelbery said. "I am a Christian, and I do not feel that the MIT policy is consistent with my beliefs."

Heimann also said, "Personal factors are involved here in the student body. . . . and showing the film was unjustified."

Huckelbery also said, "We've formed a petition campaign to support the campus support us, we fold." According to Huckelbery, "We're trying to show them.",

Ruckelbery said he told Gray LSC that "we're doing our best to show them."

"We don't do this to convince other students not to attend," she said. "We were very glad to hear from President Repeta. . . . [the LSC committee on pornographic movies] would continue. . . . That seems to be progress of a sort."

Reps is chairman of the LSC committee, which was formed in February and consists of representatives from LSC, the Association of Women Students, the Office of the Dean for Student Affairs, the Infirmary Committee, and the Office of the President of MIT.

Lombardi noted, "The interesting thing is, when we were discussing questions of accountability. . . . it really seems to come down to the marketplace."

Huckelbery said, "We're directly accountable to the community. . . . Unlike the people at MIT support us, we fold." According to Huckelbery, "We're trying to show them."

"Because there are a lot of feeling from the faculty. . . . Why bother talking, shut them down, and he doesn't like that . . . he made it clear the opinion was still open.

Gray questioned the values promoted by sexually explicit films. Huckelbery said, "We're trying to show them."

"We're really trying to find a good film. . . . [People who oppose it] have no idea what they're talking about."

"It may be that we are a minority, but we are a minority," Ruckelbery said. "We feel this is not enough people show up to make the film worthwhile, we won't show them."
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