The United States invasion of Grenada was designed neither to bring new revenue to the United States to take control of the American continent. It was an attempt on the part of the United States to free a country from totalitarian rule; it in no way abridged the rights of the free and independent state of Grenada.

Nations do not have rights. Rights are just and fair claims that belong to individuals by virtue of their being human. I have a right to my life; I do not have a right to your property.

Nations, as creations of man, cannot have rights. They are subordinated to him because they are created by him. A nation can no more have rights than any of man's other creations: computers or bookcases, paintings or ideas.

When the Soviet Union invaded Afghanistan, it was not violating the "rights" of the country of Afghanistan; it was violating the rights of each Afghan. The distinction is an important one. Since Russia has not improved the civil climate in Afghanistan by its invasion, that invasion was morally wrong.

The US invasion of Grenada, in contrast, was an attempt to enjoin to the people of Grenada many of their basic rights which they had been denied under the former Marxist regime. The United States was not morally bound to free the people of Grenada, but the choice was morally sound, especially when the action was in agreement with the interests of the United States.

The United States had many good reasons for invading Grenada: most prominently, 100 American students who were in great danger. The leftist thugs that were controlling the country had already threatened the lives of the students with a 24-hour, shoot-on-sight coffee. It was only a matter of time before those thugs would take the students hostage, perhaps to prevent US intervention in the area, perhaps simply to extort money or favors. Had the students been taken hostage, the United States would have been left powerless to take military action.

Grenada was also well on the way to becoming a second Cuba. It does not matter whether the murders in charge would have acted on their own or been the pawns of Cuba or Russia; they would still have contributed to the destruction of freedom in Central America. The quantity of weapons recovered in Grenada is proof enough that it was a supply depot for Marxists and terrorists in Central America.

The conflict in Grenada is a manifestation of the global war between freedom and slavery. The forces of slavery, marshaled under the red banner, are winning that war. The Communists are winning, and their victories are not stopping at nothing to achieve their goal: world domination.

The Communists understand their goal and they work very hard to achieve it. They do not, like Westerners, have a misguided conscience which tells them it is wrong to debase that which is evil and wrong to protect that which is good.

Westerners believe one should be kind to one's enemy, and live with him in peace. The Communists are never kind to their enemy. They believe they will only be safe if they destroy their enemy.