Editorials

**Reject Nuclear Free Cambridge Act**

Cambridge residents must uphold the right of free research by voting against the Nuclear Free Cambridge Act in city elections Tuesday. The act, under the pretense of limiting nuclear weapons production, seeks to restrict free speech and expression within the city, and at the universities in the city. The community must oppose this act, for it threatens the freedom of MIT and, more important, the freedom of the Constitution.

The referendum is an improper response to a very pressing problem. The proliferation of nuclear weapons must be controlled to ensure the safety of all people on this planet. The solution does not lie in retaliating to the right to pursue research which might further the production of those weapons.

No exclusionary clause in the referendum may exempt students. No student activity exists which is not subject to the city council's laws. The referendum requires support of one-third of registered voters, and one-half of these voting. The community must exercise its right to vote and prevent the Nuclear Free Cambridge Act from becoming law.

**Complain to Gray about benefits fee**

President Paul E. Gray '54 holds regular open office hours to allow discussion of MIT policy with the members of the community. Students must take advantage of this time Monday, between 2 p.m. and 3:30 p.m., by expressing to Gray their collective disappointment that he has refused to exempt student activities. The referendum requires support of one-third of registered voters, and one-half of these voting. The community must exercise its right to vote and prevent the Nuclear Free Cambridge Act from becoming law.

**Stop nuclear weapons production**

The United States prides itself on its attempts to allay concern of weapons development. The United States has a policy toward nuclear confrontation that stays within precepts on which the country was founded. The United States government is intended to ensure the safety of all people on this planet, but the very presence of nuclear arms development is counterproductive to this purpose. The United States government is aimed at improving civilization and makes the weapons developed in the United States available to all countries.

I suggest we stop arms development. It is time to stop a policy that is counterproductive to our nation. The United States government must stop arms development and work toward a better future.