**LSC shows X-rated film, 2600 attend**

_by Diana ben-Aaron_

The MIT Lecture Series Committee (LSC) showed the X-rated film “Take Off” as its traditional registration day movie yesterday, despite some outcry from the MIT community. Besides the three scheduled showings of the movie, which were attended by an estimated 2600 people, according to Leo DaCosta ’82, LSC chairman, an additional 15 to 20 films daily, Leonard A. Gallagher ’54, director of financial aid, said yesterday.

Five students have consulted his office about their refusal to register, Gallagher said. Three can remain at MIT without the financial aid, but the other two will be forced to go elsewhere for financial assistance, he said.

Gallagher’s biggest concern is that students who do not register come to the financial aid office, he said. MIT will provide as much help as possible to these students, although there are no “magic solutions,” he said.

MIT will assist students denied federal aid in locating sources of “the magic solutions,” he said. Some students who have not applied for federal aid in locating sources of “the magic solutions,” he said.

DaCosta said that to his knowledge, no one had responded to the signs. Last night was the first time LSC had posted such signs, he added.

DaCosta described the movie as “a spoof [on [Oscar Wilde’s novel] ‘The Picture of Dorian Gray, with sequences based on old movies.” In his Sept. 9 letter to The Tech, DaCosta wrote, “We have applied our usual high standards of selecting a film which is not violent, and which we feel is not degrading to either women or men.”

A picnic held on Krego Oval by the Dean’s Office concurrently with the movie had no significant effect on ticket sales, according to DaCosta. “It has been shown how pornography registration day movies for about 10 years, he said.
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**IFC: Rush fantastic**

_By Robert E. Malcham and Charles P. Brown_

_The 1983 fraternity rush_ achieved its goal, as 385 new students have been assigned to living groups as of last night, according to William T. Maimone ’84, President of the Interfraternity Council (IFC). The rush, which included 377 freshmen and eight transfer students, is but one over the pre-cush target for new members, Maimone said, “that makes me quite happy.” The IFC had been prepared for anywhere from a low of 360 pledges to a high of 400, he continued.

No independent living group was “really underminded,” Maimone said, “and I don’t think any [house] took in more than 380 pledges to a high of 400, he said.

“We’re pleased that Concourse is helping another lottery,” Maimone said. “That some students thought the lottery was discriminatory.

_We’re pleased that Concourse is helping another lottery._

_The morning after the first lottery, she said, “there was some confusion as to how it was handled.” Some students thought the lottery was discriminatory._

_’Some freshmen went to scream at the Dean’s Office,’ Leitvin said._

_He said, “Concourse’s intentions were good, but they were a bit overwhelming. The lottery was discriminatory. They wanted the close to be reasonably balanced.” Concourse decided later, after consultation with Rowe, that the lottery was discriminatory, Heine said._

_“Our impulses were good; our methods were bad,” Leitvin said._

_Several freshmen, both male and female, arrived at the Office of the Dean for Student Affairs and to Rowe._

_“When some students didn’t even make the stand-by list, they reacted violently,” Leitvin said._

_Cheryl A. Butters, administrative assistant for Concourse, said, “Individuals who complained hadn’t made any formal charges, but it’s possible they might two or three months from now.”_