Support nuclear freeze

Editor's note: This is a copy of an open letter sent to the US Congress.
To the Editor:

As members of the staff, faculty, and student body of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, we share the concern of most Americans over the accelerating arms race between the two superpowers, and over the increasing danger of nuclear war in any part of the world, and to ward the eventual abolition of nuclear weapons, by accepting and implementing the following proposals.

1. The United States should vigorously negotiate, with the Soviet Union and other nations, and subject to appropriate verification: First, a mutual moratorium on the testing, production, and deployment of nuclear weapons; and, second, the following proposals:

- A substantial reduction of existing weapons systems.
- A moratorium, and of missiles and new aircraft designed primarily to deliver nuclear weapons, by accepting and implementing the following proposals.

We therefore urge all members of Congress to move toward reducing the risk of nuclear war, by accepting and implementing the following proposals.
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Feedback

Dispute analysis of class elections

To the Editor:

In my three years at MIT, interest in class government has increased substantially, due largely to the addition of the Class Council. I was therefore surprised by Burt S. Kaliski's "News Analysis" on the front page of Friday's [March 11] Tech, which seemed to imply the opposite. An analysis should explain the causes and effects of an event; Kaliski's article does neither. It uses negative language and one-sided examples, often incorrectly stated, to let the reader draw his own negative implications about class government. At president of the class which Kaliski attacks most vehemently, I feel compelled to state my objections:

- Kaliski's reasons — low voter turnout, low numbers of candidates running, and lack of attendance at election forums — are all suspect.

(Please turn to page 11)