**Endorses Brown for UA presidency**

To the Editor:

In the past few years, the student government at MIT has failed in its performance of many of its responsibilities. These duties include ensuring the equitable and reasonable use and distribution of the student body and acting as an advocate of student views to the administration and the corporation.

There are many reasons for this failure. As one who has been involved in student government on at least two different levels for four years, I have seen many of the reasons for this failure. The three reasons that have continued to show up have been:

1) Groups willing to shoot holes in all the given alternatives, but not willing to come up with a better solution.

2) Individuals criticizing situations without pointing out facts, and coming up with a solution off the top of their heads that does not recognize the current system, its strengths and its weaknesses.

3) Groups working against one another for personal gain, as opposed to working towards the common goal.

The most recent example of this was last Friday's [March 4] editorial. As a result, the Dr. Pep-Per at the Lecture Series Committee thought that the Tech should not be a platform for inaction. This is a fact that the Tech should suggest to students at MIT, so that they may see that the solutions are not any better than any of the given solutions, and prefer one to the next.

The Tech should not suggest that students work on the basis that there is a strip that they have to live with. As a result, they have been suggested to their mutual goals. Another for personal gain, as opposed to working towards the common goal.

I disagree, because I believe that there is a better way to approach the problem. The solution is a new student government that has been proposed by the editorial board. The Tech made the absurd suggestion that Ayadurai, who is the candidate who has the right idea, is the one who has the right idea. The Tech made the absurd suggestion that Ayadurai, who is the candidate who has the right idea, is the one who has the right idea.

**Ayadurai addresses flaws**

To the Editor:

MIT's outrageous tuition is worth it because it pays off in the long run. I don't see why we should be jealous of others who are doing better, as opposed to working towards the common goal. The solution is a new student government that has been proposed by the editorial board. The Tech made the absurd suggestion that Ayadurai, who is the candidate who has the right idea, is the one who has the right idea.

**Ayadurai's plan would restore student respect**

To the Editor:

As a "typical MIT undergraduate," I must say I admire Shiva Ayadurai [sic] and Kyung Koh for their willingness to undertake the challenge of restructuring MIT's student government. I have long felt that MIT's student government is a force — a big joke, and does not truly represent or benefit the undergraduate population. Also, the group associated with the Undergraduate Association (i.e., Finance Board, Association of Student Activities) do not, in my opinion, carry out their duties in a way which is fair to the undergraduate community and their respective organizations. Other student organizations are subject to the whims of the groups which are charged with overseeing student activities (i.e., allocating funds, office space).

After almost two years of feeling there is no hope for MIT's government, I now see some hope. Here is a plan for a more representative form of government. The plan laid out by Ayadurai and Koh [Handbook, March 4] is an appropriate way to address the problems that require the students' interests, and not just those of adventurous students who are interested in government. If implemented correctly, the parliamentary form of government proposed by Ayadurai and Koh would restore respect for and importance of student government.

Felsia A. Duran '85

---

**STOP COMPLAINING, OTTOLE! HAVE YOU NOTICED BUSINESS HAS PICKED UP LATELY?**

---

**Opinion**

**Declarations in-candency**

To the Editor:

It has recently come to my attention that there is a strip of dirt across the Science Center. All of the students have been helping us if some vicous lowbies have been maliciously taking a shortcut out of the Science Center. The Dr. Pep-Per at the Lecture Series Committee thought that the Tech should not be a platform for inaction. As a result, the Dr. Pep-Per at the Lecture Series Committee thought that the Tech should not be a platform for inaction. The Tech made the absurd suggestion that Ayadurai, who is the candidate who has the right idea, is the one who has the right idea.

**Ayyadurai's plan would restore student respect**

To the Editor:

As a "typical MIT undergraduate," I must say I admire Shiva Ayadurai [sic] and Kyung Koh for their willingness to undertake the challenge of restructuring MIT's student government. I have long felt that MIT's student government is a force — a big joke, and does not truly represent or benefit the undergraduate population. Also, the group associated with the Undergraduate Association (i.e., Finance Board, Association of Student Activities) do not, in my opinion, carry out their duties in a way which is fair to the undergraduate community and their respective organizations. Other student organizations are subject to the whims of the groups which are charged with overseeing student activities (i.e., allocating funds, office space).

After almost two years of feeling there is no hope for MIT's government, I now see some hope. Here is a plan for a more representative form of government. The plan laid out by Ayadurai and Koh [Handbook, March 4] is an appropriate way to address the problems that require the students' interests, and not just those of adventurous students who are interested in government. If implemented correctly, the parliamentary form of government proposed by Ayadurai and Koh would restore respect for and importance of student government.

Felsia A. Duran '85

---

**Feedback**

**Ayadurai addresses flaws**

To the Editor:

MIT's outrageous tuition is worth it because it pays off in the long run. I don't see why we should be jealous of others who are doing better, as opposed to working towards the common goal. The solution is a new student government that has been proposed by the editorial board. The Tech made the absurd suggestion that Ayadurai, who is the candidate who has the right idea, is the one who has the right idea.

**Ayadurai's plan would restore student respect**

To the Editor:

As a "typical MIT undergraduate," I must say I admire Shiva Ayadurai [sic] and Kyung Koh for their willingness to undertake the challenge of restructuring MIT's student government. I have long felt that MIT's student government is a force — a big joke, and does not truly represent or benefit the undergraduate population. Also, the group associated with the Undergraduate Association (i.e., Finance Board, Association of Student Activities) do not, in my opinion, carry out their duties in a way which is fair to the undergraduate community and their respective organizations. Other student organizations are subject to the whims of the groups which are charged with overseeing student activities (i.e., allocating funds, office space).

After almost two years of feeling there is no hope for MIT's government, I now see some hope. Here is a plan for a more representative form of government. The plan laid out by Ayadurai and Koh [Handbook, March 4] is an appropriate way to address the problems that require the students' interests, and not just those of adventurous students who are interested in government. If implemented correctly, the parliamentary form of government proposed by Ayadurai and Koh would restore respect for and importance of student government.

Felsia A. Duran '85