Is assembling a collection of Art Museums of New England, the recently published guide to ranging and overall excellence. In collection.

the needs and strengths of the preservation and maintenance, and posed sites, requirements for con- one thing, there is some speculation that pornography is contributing to increased sexual promiscuity and thereby contrib- uting to the epidemic rage of venereal diseases. Over 25 million Americans.

Pornography is destroying the purity of sex. It is abusing sex in talking about everything.

Pornography is displaying an offensive, distorted view of women. Women are being depicted as male-wish fulfillments, or as insa- tiable, lust-driven animals stopping for nothing.

Pornography is enslaving us. It is enslaving us to lust and evil. We are losing our basic American freedoms.

Worst of all, pornography is furthering the decadence tak- ing place in the United States and souls of our children and young people of our country.

Indeed, the Bible says that as a man thinketh in his heart so is he. A thought that goes like the oth- er corren steel sculpture already at the courthouse. However, said rain interferes with this process, and conditions hire at MIT we hope are better those of its former site, so this process can continue.

The Committee on Visual Arts welcomes the opportunity to give tours of the area to any group from the Institute. Plans are under way to offer an under- graduate seminar in the fall of 1983 for those who would like to engage the issues in the visual arts in a more systematic way. We're not a conspiracy. Both the student body and the profes- sional staff of the CVA welcome input from all members of the MIT community.

David J. Scrimshaw '83 Professor Boris Magasanik Harry Portnoy Ass't Sarkar Geraldine Thalman Stephen Leblanc '83 Professor Jeoroben Steiner '85 Robert W. G.

Members of the Committee on the Visual Arts

Faiths Link's view of campus sculpture

To the Editor:

I'd like to respond to a column in The Tech of Feb. 8. This should be addressed to Jack Link whose column "On MIT's Outdoor Sculpture" not only inflamed me but successfully made Mr. Link an instant fool, something that he certainly isn't afraid of accomplishing.

It seems that Jack likes to write these columns for The Tech either at the last minute or in a drunken state. Whatever his right mind would paraphrase Andy Rooney. Furthermore, I don't find Professor Jero- ne Y. Lettvin's opinion of Lipchitz's sculpture as "a collection of droppings from flocks of 74 SV's" very persuasive or pro- found. There is a definite lack of nudity here fueled by the abun- "HE'S FROM THE EPA... HE SAYS HE GIVES A HOOT AND HE WANTS TO SQUEAL ON ANNE GORSUCH"

To the Editor:

This is a response to the Febru- ary 15, 1983 editorial which construed my absence from last Thursday's night's General Assem- bly meeting.

I am perturbed by the news and discussion regarding the Tech so boldly tries to feed MIT students. Fortunately, there is an insubordinate body of faculty who are quick to respond to the Tech's attempts to manipulate us.

In view of recent articles, I feel compelled to reply to past news items which not only do injustice to my actions by not addressing why I chose to boycott the General Assembly meeting but also to my actions by not addressing why I chose to boycott the General Assembly meeting.

To the Editor:

day night's meeting was meant to be a statement. A statement that the Tech's editorial board, The Tech failed to mention why I re- fused to attend The Tech's election meet- ing.

The editorial board instead rambled on into some flaw of how important student govern- ment is. With the UA leadership to respond to that, I felt The Tech's action in UA election '82 when it supported the Gumbay candidacy of Ken and Ken. One Ken is now gone, and the Secre- tary General, Kate, has resigned. To say how important student govern- ment is and then to sup- port a form candidacy is utter hypocrisy. Grant you, if the stu- dents want Gumbay, then let it be Gumbay first, for The Tech to assert a self-righteous attitude of wanting to see an effective stu- dent government, and then sup- porting farer leaders is contrary to what The Tech should be doing.

I am writing to show that the media ends where the welfare of others begins. Freedom of the people begins.

Freedom begins when someone else's welfare begins. Freedom of the media ends where the welfare of the public begins, otherwise the welfare of our begin.

With all of the harmful and dis- sertious effects and consequences pornography brings, we must deal with it to preserve our freedoms and our liberties. Let us purge ourselves of this evil and save our country from ruin. As one who has only ever lived, once said, "Living by God's principles promotes a na- tionalized body of faculty who are quick to respond to the Tech's attempts to manipulate us.
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