What's wrong with the MX Missile?

1. It's unsafe for us
   The latest plans for basing it ("dense pack") don't secure it against enemy attack. In fact, they make such an attack more tempting.

2. It's provocative to the Soviets
   The MX is a "first strike," not a "deterrent" weapon. It therefore tempts the Soviets to launch a pre-emptive strike of their own and knock the MX out of business.

3. It escalates the arms race
   Air Force spokesman General Fornell says the latest MX basing plan will "start exhausting the enemy's resources and drive him to development of new warheads larger in yield." Exactly. Each new weapon drives the arms spiral a few notches higher.

4. It's recklessly expensive
   The 100 MX missiles proposed would cost thirty billion dollars over the next few years. We can make better use of our taxes, even for defense.

5. We don't need it anyway
   Our submarine missiles alone are more than adequate to deter an attack for many years to come. They're powerful, undetectable, in place, and already paid for.

Call or write your three representatives in Congress to vote against the MX. Sign the MIT letter to Congress. There's no time to lose.