Saturday's score: MIT, Harvard-Yale 0

By Laura Farhi

Academic cheating does not appear to be a major problem at most departments at MIT, according to individual interviews conducted with faculty members at thirteen academic departments. The punishments administered for cheating vary widely, because instructors exercise discretion in the disposition of cheating cases.

"Cheating on tests seems to occur most in large classes such as freshman core courses, some faculty members reported. Misunderstandings between students and teachers sometimes arise because each instructor has a different view of how much collaboration should be allowed on problem sets and take-home assignments. Departments do not maintain files on cheating incidents, so no one person has a view of all alleged cheating in a department or in the Institute. In five years, three instances of cheating on examinations arose in the Department of Chemistry, according to Professor James L. Kinsey, former head of the department. In all the cases the students were confronted with their tests by the professors, who told the students they could either take F's in the courses or face further action. All the students involved chose to receive the F grades. Kinsey said no cases were taken to the COD because "the Institute disciplinary committee has a reputation of being bureaucratically cumbersome."

In the case of problem sets, "copying is so universal as to be the norm," Kinsey asserted. "There is no way it can be effectively policed." Freshman physics courses produce about one cheating case a quarter, Physics Professor Anthony P. French, He did not remember any cheating incidents in upper class courses.

Cheating occurs in about one examination out of 500 or one test per class -- in Physics 8 (8.02) second term, according to 8.02 coordinator Paul C. Joskow, Associate Professor of Physics.

The device was designed so that it would pose no threat to players, officials, or spectators, Sonn said. Deke waited until yesterday to claim responsibility for their actions because they were unsure whether Harvard Police planned Shuttle bus service to resume

By Tony Zamparutti

The Undergraduate Association (UA) Finance Board decided Friday the UA will resume liability for the MIT Shuttle Bus Project on November 29.

The shuttle bus should resume service on that date, according to Noelle Merritt '85, chairman of the project. Merritt said one bus will operate for two weeks, until the end of classes.

The company which operated the shuttle, William S. Carroll, "wants at least a partial payment" of the project's debt before it enters into a contract with the MIT Shuttle Bus Project, according to David Libby '85, Finance Board liaison to the project. "People are working on that right now," he noted.

The organization owes Carroll more than their assets, Libby said. The Finance Board could lend money to pay the company, but this year's budget is tight. The board could lend money from its invested reserves, but such a loan would require approval by the Activities Development Board (ADB).

"They [Carroll] really shouldn't require prepayment," Merritt said, "if they do it, it might be a problem.

The Finance Board withdrew UA liability for the project October 29, and warned the organizers any debts the shuttle bus accrued thereafter would be the personal liability of the bus organizers.

Service for the next two weeks of service will cost $2500, Merritt said. Before October 29 the shuttle bus project sold $3000 worth of tickets to students and $1500 to the Student Center Committee's Coffeehouse for resale. Service cost $1500 before the Finance Board revoked UA liability, $1500 for the two and half weeks the bus was operating without UA liability, and should cost $2500 for the next two weeks' operation, according to Merritt. The bus project should have a top of $4000 between its revenue (bus for and its total expected expenses, Merritt said.

We are "truly to get per cent of that" in ticket sales, she said. "If we can get that, it will be (Please turn to page 8)