feedback

Tech letters contradicted

To the Editor:

While I did my best to restrain myself, the letters in your March 16 issue finally convinced me to pen my own rejoinder.

First, there was yet another reference to Homecoming Queen as a symbol of school spirit. It has been my experience that one of the things MIT students are proudest of is that this isn't Harvard or Penn State or the University of Halibut, and that things like HTTP shirts (which friends at other schools own) we would never go over in those places) and having the UMCOC winter play Homecoming Queen as an aspect of the Institute to be proud of.

For that matter, so does the ability to elect a Conservative of the Institute to be proud of other schools assure me would never go over in those places) and having the UNIOC winner play like I HFTP shirts (which friends of the Tech have won). Anyway, I find it difficult to believe that the Gumbys would halve hald the seriousness level which brings me to my next point.

I find it difficult to believe that a front-page picture of Ken Mahan' holding a Coke can was enough to swing the election; if it was, then the seriozosity level everyone would seem to indicate that the Gumbys would have had no problem winning anyway. I find it even more difficult to believe that people are yelling about poor editorial judgment on the part of the Tech; this implies the existence of editorial judgment at your paper, which experience leads me to believe is an erroneous assumption.

Finally, I take exception to Ms. von Rosenberg's taking positions to the enjoyment of the defeat of the "serious candidates". After all these years of watching the UA do nothing but feel important, I am heartened to see people in-of-