opinion

Grease and frothing on the UA trail '82

After three hours of sleep, five of classes and another two of practice, this confused me greatly, especially considering the wordplay of a "Vividin Jag." So I tuned out. Shiva, by the way, seems like a genuinely nice guy. I have no idea what he's doing in student government.

Vivarin jag. So I tuned out. Shiva, especially on the downslide of a practice, this confused me greatly, about. After three hours of sleep, I did not support its own title. I seminating misinformation about Yet this very editorial, by dis-

To the Editor:
The Tech portrays itself as an objective, independent, and serious newspaper which reports on the activities and events of the MIT community. We believe that the coverage of the UA election in the March 8th issue made a mockery of these claim.

The editorial page represents the opinion of The Tech editorial board and we agree that they have a right to critique the candidates and endorse or not endorse as they see fit, in that forum.

The front page of a newspaper also has a substantial impact on its readers. Items on the front page should be fair and impartial. A large picture of only one pair of candidates in the most prominent place on the front page the day before the election is anything but fair and impartial. In effect, this picture was a back door endorse-

Editorial inaccurate

The title of The Tech's editorial on the recent elections was "Choose UAP/UAVP Wisely." Yet this very editorial, by disseminating misinformation about the Summer/Ayyadurai ticket, did not point out its own title. I point out only the two most blan-
test examples: Summer was characterized as lacking experience in student government; in reality, he has been an active member of the GA for the past three years. What was said to be Ayyadurai's unsuccessful letter-writing campaign was not even scheduled to begin until the day after the election — at least ac-
cording to the front page of the same issue of The Tech.

A wise decision? On the basis of whose evidence? Since The Tech was the only publication to print substantial impact on the activities and events of the UA President 1979-80 Jonathan Hakala

EDITOR'S NOTE
The Election Commission was upset because the sorting of the UAP/UAVP ballots had just produced a prodigious pile for Segel and Meltsner. Their major concern was that the UA as presently constituted may not survive the Gumbys. My question: Is this bad? (No, of course it isn't, it's a rhetorical question. You'd know if you'd been paying atten-
tion.) Maybe we can get people involved now who don't have some petty ax to grind, or some 
transcript which needs enhancing. Meltsner, at the UA forum, professing ran of Crisco to Joyce Pollack '82, U.A. Secretary-
General: "Want some?"

Pollack: "No, I've had too much already." So have we all.

CLASS OF 1984

Vice Presidential Runoff Election
Wednesday
March 17, 1982
9am-5pm
Lobby 10 ONLY

Candidates' statements are available in the Undergraduate Association Office, W20-401

GRADUATE STUDENTS

Faculty Club Dance
(an early celebration of St. Patrick's Day)
Friday, March 12
9 - 1am
free admission

Faculty Lounge
Sloan School, 50 Memorial Drive
E52, 6th Floor

Come Dance the night away, AND enjoy the best prices for drinks in town, and free snacks!!

Casual dress.

Sponsored by the Graduate Student Council

Feedback

Tech UA coverage unfair

To the Editor:
The UA coverage of the 26-100 was packed to the rafters by the six hopefuls, a handful of people connected with their campaigns, four Tech sta-
fers, and a dozen odd concerned do-
ing in student government.
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