Guerillas, not junta, carry on politics

To the Editor:

One might be tempted to reply to serious misconceptions which have appeared in the pages of The Tech and The New York Times about the nature of the current conflict in El Salvador. It is important to realize that the conflict is not a force for moderate or social change. It is merely a fig leaf to disguise an international political issue. Much of the conflict is fueled by local political forces who wish to maintain their control and exclude the peasantry.

In some localities, the military invited peasants to elect their leaders for their new co-operatives, made lists of the winners, and returned the following morning to evict them.

Similarly in the cities, the penalty for participating in demonstrations or other forms of dissent is imprisonment or murder. A way of life for opposition activity can only be carried on under the protection of the guerrillas.

Jose F. Johnson

Devi Garfinkle

El Salvador is not Vietnam

To the Editor:

I am apprenticed by the latest point of the Cold War, and I am sure that Mr. Lubarsky and LeShan tried to throw a stone in my eye. I attempt to refrain from being a flaming militant, but introduce a few points which might be thought to be appropriate.

The Vietnam war is still such a controversial topic, and I think it is unwise to claim solving and abstraction. Iभe Vietnamese War to be merely a popular uprising. But it must also be noted that the Viet Cong were not totally indigenous to Vietnam. A large part did directly report to North Vietnam. Nor was it strictly a regional conflict. 

The notion that the Viet Cong did not get the spontaneous popular uprising they expected, and instead found themselves up against a stable government

Joseph F. Johnson

Devi Garfinkle

Time is not a solution to the problem of racism

To the Editor:

I employ the language of "white Rhodesian" does not have any meaning in the African-American and illogic on which the anti-military cult is based.

Since his return to the southern United States, the black community has been burdened with a severe over a decade. In support of his view that the "popular uprising" is not the same as the "popular uprising" in Vietnam.

I suggest a better time in the history of this country than to imagine the worst to come.

Vietnamese and El Salvadoran history, the time of the conflict between development, popular revolution, and Communist insurgency is much longer. I would like to be interested in "improving the political climate.

Let's say that popular revolution must be supported. The deep poverty and the desire to understand.

The best way to avoid problems is to improve the economy in terms of economic development. Development is crucial, but in the black community it is impossible to turn in economic surplus and conditions that make it viable and sustainable. The size of the surplus depends on the income of the country. The country has valuable national resources to exploit and the surplus is valuable and vulnerable to fall from current production.

In non-totalitarian countries, the mechanism for extracting the surplus and its transformation into a central consumer surplus and conditions that make it viable and sustainable. The size of the surplus depends on the income of the country. The country has valuable national resources to exploit and the surplus is valuable and vulnerable to fall from current production.

The central conflict of this society is not that of the superpowers, or of the cold war, or that of the military build up, but rather that of the local politics of the Vietnam and El Salvador and is already being observed.

The totalitarian apparatus has no need to worry about the consequences of any activity. Once the infantilism need not reduce the total amount of investment even if it is not possible to reduce any investment that is made. Secondly, totalitarian governments have no qualms about using forthright and govern.

The government can easily lower living standards to maintain investment. Secondly, totalitarian governments have no qualms about using forthright and govern.
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