Decontrol: US less vulnerable

(continued from page 5)

Dr. Adelman felt that the United States will be slightly less vulnerable as a result of decontrol, mainly due to decreased imports. Adelman said that the conventional knowledge that decreased imports will result in a great leap in national security is untrue. He said that the growing linkages between our economy and those of Europe and the Far East (areas particularly susceptible to OPEC's pricing policies) will dictate that if "our allies hurt, we hurt." Adelman added that oil decontrol is obviously "no good" for OPEC.

In the long run decontrol may actually benefit the OPEC countries, whose well being are tied closely to that of the advanced industrial democracies, but Adelman said that OPEC "doesn't think deeply" on such matters, and their data on long run economic matters is as poor as the United States' information. Schmalensee said that the Europeans regarded control as "mildly senseless" in terms of reducing long range oil consumption. Within the United States, Schmalensee said that there will be some winners and some losers, but the entire nation will gain. However, the precise effect of decontrol on this winter's heating bills is unclear at this point.
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