The Tech: "At the meeting of the General Assembly (GA) meeting and his candidacy contains errors which we would like to clarify.

The Thursday G.A. meeting was scheduled three weeks before, by the previous G.A. meeting. At G.A. meetings an emergency meeting can be "called" into being; it requires a two-thirds vote to carry such a motion. It passed nearly unanimously. formed that the interpretation of this rule was being challenged, and that their activity record and candidate statement were not examined. So the vote was not necessarily implied? Is the racial situation at MIT healthier than the rest of the Ivy League?"

Next week: "How healthy is your racial situation?"

To the Editor: Jonathan Hakala, on consulting with the Dean's Office, has asked me to conduct an impartial in-

"We know how to do it, but it has to be and find a way in a 5K race..."

Gown workers suffer; not company

Two views on GA actions

The Tech Editor, Tuesday, April 9, 1980

To the Editor: Jonathan Hakala and Dave Lingelbach submitted 454 nomination signatures well before the April 2 deadline.

In the previous week, candidates were allowed to submit their activity records and their candidate statements after the deadline for signatures. Jonathan Hakala and Dave Lingelbach were not informed that the interpretation of this rule was being changed, and that their activity record and candidate statement were now due by April 2.

The issue concerned a Wednesday evening dispute between the Election Commissioner and a candidate. The candidate had been given an extension hour left to do so. Those in favor of the motion felt the problem needed and changed, and that their activity record and candidate statement were not examined. So the vote was not necessarily implied? Is the racial situation at MIT healthier than the rest of the Ivy League?"
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