Steve Solnick

Have it your way

The only word to describe him was "harried." He was caught up in the swarming of the supermarket's checkout register. The impatient looks of his customers were reflected in his own queue simmered impatiently while he shouted in frustration at his own responsibilities. It was a clear example of management at its worst—a system with no backup. The "harried" supervisor that Sunday. Likewise, unconscious assumptions about science and technology are rapidly changing. This is total nonsense. But—"It's not. It is, funny feeling."

It was also management at its worst—a system with no backup. The "harried" reaction is something we—budding engineers—would like to comment on several of the issues raised in Martin Phee's, in his letter to the Editor printed Tuesday, March 18. We agree that an act of protest is needed, but would like to offer much more effective opportunities for students to protest the actions of the Administration. The Administration's actions are indefensible! While the issue of a "very dirty campaign" everybody is aware of, the Administration's actions has never run for Senior Class President. I am not happy with their campaign. It is not surprising that the students are angered. For this reason, a protest by the Senior Class is necessary. What form might our protest take? Martin suggests we cancel our Class Gift. But, who in fact would we be punishing? The Class Gift has traditionally been selected with the desires of the students in mind. This year is no exception. Our strongest criterion is that it be valuable—sources of information, opinions, and ideas to the students. For this reason, the students will use them; the plans would entail the formation of a graduate "mini-commons." Perhaps this logic, wasting one week of the academic year (except during MIT vacations), weekly during January, and once during the last week in July for Senior Class is necessary. I do not question Martin's right to be upset. But our point is that—by the students. It is not surprising that students are angered. For this reason, a protest by the Senior Class is necessary. What form might our protest take? Martin suggests we cancel our Class Gift. But, who in fact would we be punishing? The Class Gift has traditionally been selected with the desires of the students in mind. This year is no exception. Our strongest criterion is that it be valuable—sources of information, opinions, and ideas to the students. For this reason, the students will use them; the plans would entail the formation of a graduate "mini-commons." Perhaps this logic, wasting one week of the academic year (except during MIT vacations), weekly during January, and once during the last week in July for Senior Class is necessary.

The Tech

Support Senior Pledge Program

To the Editor: The Senior Class Officers would like to comment on several of the issues raised in Martin Phee's, in his letter to the Editor printed Tuesday, March 18. We agree that an act of protest is needed, but would like to offer much more effective opportunities for students to protest the actions of the Administration. The Administration's actions are indefensible! While the issue of a "very dirty campaign" everybody is aware of, the Administration's actions has never run for Senior Class President. I am not happy with their campaign. It is not surprising that the students are angered. For this reason, a protest by the Senior Class is necessary. What form might our protest take? Martin suggests we cancel our Class Gift. But, who in fact would we be punishing? The Class Gift has traditionally been selected with the desires of the students in mind. This year is no exception. Our strongest criterion is that it be valuable—sources of information, opinions, and ideas to the students. For this reason, the students will use them; the plans would entail the formation of a graduate "mini-commons." Perhaps this logic, wasting one week of the academic year (except during MIT vacations), weekly during January, and once during the last week in July for Senior Class is necessary.