As the closing ceremonies of the Winter Olympics fade into the past, the attention of the sports-minded public turns out of hubs to Moscow. Although this year’s Games have been thrown into doubt as a consequence of President Carter’s announced boycott.

With the stand Carter has taken to boycott the Games, it would be a near political impossibility for the US to go to Moscow for the international situation radiating changes. Under these conditions, we fully support the Free World Games, even though the name is a bit self-indulgent. The US should urge the other nations who have been considering it, not to proceed, diligently for the chance to go to the Olympics, and this momentous decision would perhaps make them a stronger team.

It would be ideal to send these hard-working athletes to the real Olympics, but it would be naive for us to enter in order to help the Olympic movement. But we think the Olympics as a monstrosity took lone too before they invaded Afghanistan. School-sponsored children are being taken out of Moscow to compete to the decorum of the event, while Soviet authorities have increased their crackdown on dissidents such as Sakharov. The Russians are also planning to tame all Western newsman from being distributed in the Olympic Village during the Games.

It is in this Soviet emphasis on the monstrosity value of the Games that allows us to request the invasion of Afghanistan so effectively by the boycott. We are aware that this invasion should be defended as strongly as possible, even though it is repressive that the athletes have to suffer.

Accrediting team’s hollow conclusions

An accreditation team from the New England Association of Schools and Colleagues recently released a thorough, forceful report on the educational program at MIT, based on their visit to the campus earlier this month. While everyone involved, while everyone in the MIT community, was also honest in pointing out problems such as the “crisis of transition” in the humanities, ambiguities between interdisciplinary centers, and the high-pressure MIT environment.

It is therefore surprising to learn that the report concludes that “the only problems that the visiting team discovered which were not already under attack or well-known to the administration were relatively minor ones.” Such a claim from a frank report comes across as a bitter disappointment and, quite frankly, a con-out.

The visiting team, except in last week’s Tech Talk, is full of useful and candid suggestions for improving the quality of both life and education at MIT. By ascertaining in the closing remarks that the problems identified are all under control, however, the visiting Team effectively destroys the credibility of their recommendations, as well as much of the chance for future improvement.

We can only hope that students, faculty, and the administration will take the report as read the report in its entirety and choose to draw their own conclusions, rather than simply accept the highbrow vestigial dismissive remarks of the Visiting Team. The report also contains a number of factual errors, but not serious, but obvious in nature. They will not be under attack or well-known to the administration.

To the editor:

I find that Prof. Francis Low, the new provost, was familiar with the TECH's editorial of Feb. 26. It is true that Prof. Love has a “nice personal background in research administration.” It is also true, however, that Prof. Low has taught undergraduates, and taught them well.

I feel the purpose of learning unpleasant ethics (18.04.01) from Prof. Love in 1976. He succeeded with even his worst students. It would also reduce Bush’s winning image as a nobleminded person.

The candidates have worked hard to win the nomination of new voters who once favored Bush but are now uncertain after the New Hampshire defeat. Senator Howard Baker sees these voters as his base, but Anderson Rican have different strategies.

Baker, who earlier this week backed off from a statement that he needed a clear finish or better to remain in the race, has been focusing his efforts on attracting a strong finish in the Vermont primary, also held today. Baker would like to beat Bush in Vermont, and perhaps win outright by defeating Reagan. Anderson is highly focused for his finish in the Massachusetts primary. A strong finish seems likely for him in this state due to the enthusiastic support he is receiving from college students, especially in the Boston area. One parallel might result from the vote record of these voters who are not likely to be Bush supporters. These college voters do not vote in extremely large numbers, and if this holds true this year, Anderson is in real trouble. Anderson has spent all week attacking Bush on several occasions through TV ads. The Bush campaign has not even been aware of the ad, but is under attack by the Bush campaign. This kind of attack from him. They feel that Bush’s support in this state is not solid, and if he hard work can win over this group of not totally committed voters.

Anderson’s campaign has one final problem, though. Since he is not running a truly national campaign, he is not facing the two large states, California and New York, and is also avoiding up in Florida and Texas. So, there is almost no way he can possibly win enough delegates to obtain the nomination. Nevertheless, he is aiming in his efforts to win this state.

For the Democrats, the Massachusetts primary is the final chance to win the nomination in this state for financial reasons, but was not allowed to withdraw from the ballot due to campaign regulations. The only two serious in the candidates left are Kennedy and Carter.

For Kennedy, the primary presents his first real chance of winning a primary in this voice election year. It also presents his most serious challenge—Kennedy must win in his home state in order to be seen as a real chance of winning the nomination. Carter is still in the White House like a vulture circling over the final Killie Blow. A close finish Wednesday will either kill that blow, a final Killie Blow. A close finish this week will either kill that blow, a final Killie Blow. A close finish this week will either kill that blow, a final Killie Blow.

With the campaign trail heading south after today, Carter can mount an almost insurmountable lead if Kennedy doesn’t slow down some of his momentum.

Carter’s campaign staff will not concede Kennedy’s home state to him without a fight. They know how desperate a final campaign in a presidential campaign can be, and are putting every effort into winning the Massachusetts primary.

In the final analysis, there is little to be won and a great deal to be lost. There is little to be won in the Massachusetts primary. The key word for the day is not to vote if you haven’t voted; it’s survival.