Critics of Rose Garden score in Maine

(Continued from page 4)

the border from Massachusetts into Maine to campaign for the candidates in the area. Students are again having an influence on presidential politics, as they did in 1972. The dying叫做纷纷表示 to be the key here. With Kennedy against registration and Carter for it, students have been tending to support Kennedy out of a sense of self-preservation.

This support has been a battering to the sagging foundation of Kennedy's campaign.

The Maine Democratic presidential caucuses were indeed a small event. Only about 36,000 people voted in Maine. Yet the treaty national press corps has again blown a small event out of proportion. Not that the media is totally at fault; the candidates are helping to overstress small events, too.

There are no great lessons to be learned from Maine. Carter may have learned to leave the White House. Kennedy may have learned to see the draft issue, and both may have learned that he needs to campaign more, but no issues were settled. Above all, no one was the real winner in Maine. Or is that everyone was the real winner in Maine? As I end, just as when I began this, I'm not quite sure.

To the editor:

I would like to publicly ask Chancellor Gray the following questions:

1) How can the Institute justify implementing a combined room and board plan on the basis that it is for the students' benefit? Also: b) If the Institute is not implementing such a plan for the benefit of the students, then for whose benefit is the plan?

In my opinion, the honest answers to the above questions are:

a) The Institute cannot justify a combined room and board plan on the basis that it is for the students' benefit.

b) The plan is for the benefit of the Dining Service, not the students.

I believe there are cheaper, more fair ways of improving
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