Current dining system, "says the draft of the Committee's report on the dining review. "Supporting the people who cook and having dining halls provide an "office of the co-ed dorm," according to Wylie. Simonides, MIT vice-president.

"We want to reaffirm the need for providing the diversity in lifestyles," said Simonides. "In the current system where each dormitory has a fixed quota of women attending, this has not been the method that more contact is needed." Simonides said that increased mobility is a good thing. Wylie pointed out that the amount of movement would provide an index of how well the program was working, and that it was a type of dormitory that the program should be re-evaluated.

"A large number of students come to MIT with the expectation that MIT will have a quality program to fulfill their dining needs. . . . The status quo is not a viable alternative.," said Simonides, "Quality in food at low cost requires steady patronage, yet patronage that fluctuates in numbers."

Perich said that while the proposals do have their good points, they are not worth having the entire package. "The committee members are all very sincere," he said. "They all think this is the best thing, but I think they've lost some perspective about the role of dining in the whole of student life."

The MIT fraternity system has undergone massive changes since its birth in the last century and will doubtless radically change in the years ahead. Only the direction remains in question.

Happy Graduation, Gordon!