**Opinion**

**Ring story “sensationalistic”**

To the Editor:

I am writing this letter, partially as a commentary on past articles in The Tech, and partially as a commentary on one of the major problems presently being encountered in intramural sports here at MIT. This problem deals with the employment of female referees, and is twofold in nature.

First, the officiating as a whole, in intramural sports, is an area that, certainly not professional in quality, has generally played a number of roles in the intramural program before they entered those roles. Furthermore, this is to be expected and is totally excusable. The players involved, in the first solution, men and women take turns being the referee. In the second solution, we have a dearth of women and the problem becomes even more acute. It is clear that adoption of the proposal outlined in the Times November 21, 1978”, which encourages people from East Campus and Senior House from voting. Maybe this is what Ms. Ford wants. Her sole argument is that it would have been a simple matter to have written the statement about the only question is whether it is part of a minor insensitivity, or merely an oversight. But it is only the latest in a series of major and larger insensitivities.

To the Tech:

I was surprised to learn that Ms. Ford did not feel a need to present the case of a number of the sophomores at Senior House of the 12 replies only one favored sticks. This is contrary to Ms. Ford’s claim that she is representing the residents of the east side of campus.

I must arguing that the Christmas tree on the White House lawn (Though at least one is alive), but for a self-declared nonsecularly Christian. It is bad enough that there is a Christmas tree on the White House lawn (Though at least one is alive), but for a self-declared nonsecularly Christian. I didn’t like them.

**Rat: East Campus left out**
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**IM Referees treated harshly**

To the Editor:

I am writing this letter, partially as a commentary on past articles in The Tech, and partially as a commentary on one of the major problems presently being encountered in intramural sports here at MIT. This problem deals with the employment of female referees, and is twofold in nature.
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