

**Uap/uavp candidates**

**Katrina Wootton**

Doug McLeod

included publicity and soliciting of workers. I have worked with many students, both policy setting and social event producing. I was Student Government Association Executive Committee chairman, started the Straw's Rats, and consulted many old SCC projects, such as Midnite Movies, 24 Hour Coffeehouse and Pot Luck Coffeehouse. Doug has had newspaper experience with The Tech, while I have written articles for last year's UA News. Both of us have experienced running a successful project from start to finish. We know both sides of the River, and we feel that we can adequately represent a wide variety of student ideas and willingness to put in the work required, and have past accomplishments to prove it.

When the 85% Meals Tax was instituted on commons meal plans, one Institute (Please turn to page 4, this section)

**David Hoicka/Christopher Law**

(Continued from previous page)

ready audience for your complaints or suggestions, experience, and responsibility. We are very willing to accept constructive criticism and, if elected, we hope to make our government, your government.

There are a number of issues.

**Overcrowding:** We believe there is no reasonable reason for MIT to overcrowd the dormitories to the extent planned for next year, especially when equally viable alternatives exist, such as using Cambridge Hall, and other MIT properties just off the campus. We know that at least.

**Communications:** We promise something better than communications. We will have endless bickering, endless dialogues - lots of communication - and still have nothing happen. We are not interested in action than talk.

Plains: 1) UA bulletin board in main corridor with space for comments, questions, suggestions. 2) System of mailers - forms pre-addressed to the UA - in each frat and dorm to make it easy for students to express opinions before the building, places where the ceiling was

**Christopher Law**

We have experience in budgeting the MIT administration. The Dean's office never had any interest in discovering Bexley Hall. The plans were "shelved indefinitely." We took 90 photographs of the building, places where the ceiling was falling down, holes in the floors and walls, broken plumbing, dangerous sub-standard wiring, and circulated these photos through the upper administration. At first nothing happened. Then we started hitting the members of the Corporation. They were shocked. They had never been in the building before. "My god, I didn't know the place looked like that!" And we got results. One 90 photographs and legwork turned into a $350,000 renovation job.

We'd like to do the same thing in other places where students aren't getting a fair deal. We know who to contact, and we know the ropes. We know how to listen and who doesn't really care about student life. We want to change things for the better. To do this, we'd like your vote. We need a lot of them. When the UA gets elected to the student body, the administration finds this a convenient excuse to ignore everything he says. So we hope you will vote - encourage your friends to vote and be sure to vote. There is a way to change things that you don't like, and it depends on your vote. (Please turn to page 4, this section)

(Continued from previous page)

**Charlie Shooshan/Dave Browne**

would have been passed over. For instance, concerts would be easier planned and easier done. But most importantly, this budget committee would serve to keep the academic level at MIT constant. Is this possible? Is the academic level at MIT constant? The Undergraduate Association must address itself to these questions as they are directly related to a student's life at MIT as well as his/her future.

As far as my previous involvement in MIT student government, I have participated in the last three administrations in some capacity - listening, watching, and learning. I believe that my insight into the assets and problems of our Undergraduate (Please turn to page 4, this section)

(Continued from previous page)

**Phil Moore/Steve Spiro**

tougher grade standards - have already or can combine to force students to do increasing amounts of work for the same credit and 2) cut down on other-interests because of class, lab, or work conflicts. Result: it's harder to do much with our limited outside of problem sets.

Rapidly rising tuition, dorm, and prospective fraternity overcrowding, and further cutbacks in financial aid have hit most students pretty hard. Yet it's not entirely clear either why it's necessary to bear the brunt of the financial crisis (along with employees) or what our money is going to pay for. Fancy new department offices? Increased administration staff and salaries? Property taxes on unused Cambridge land? Over the past year the administration hasn't even bothered to give students any kind of clear comprehensive explanation (with supporting data) for the whole business.

**Phil Moore**

Surely if we're paying for all of this and getting overcrowded to boot we deserve that at least. At the same time there have been sorts of people to pass on what's in many cases potentially dangerous technology (military or otherwise) without even stopping to consider the possible consequences. For one of the top science and engineering schools in the country this strikes me as extremely irresponsible. Without public disclosure of such commitments it's difficult to say whether the contracts, impacts can be held in the scientific community, even when many students are actively engaged in sophisticated research, is student opinion on this kind of "irrelevant," or unworthy of consideration?

What's happened to a first-year student? Increased administration staff and salaries? Property taxes on unused Cambridge land? Over the past year the administration hasn't even bothered to give students any kind of clear comprehensive explanation (with supporting data) for the whole business.

**Steve Spiro**

disclosures of all sorts of programs - last year's Iran program and this year's Taiwan program are two examples - in which the Institute contracts with all of these serious issues and we're not getting good answers from the (Please turn to page 4, this section)