CP purchases new ambulance

By Danny Nodler

The Campus Patrol has pur-
chasenew ambulance in response to re-
cently instituted Massachusetts Depart-
ment of Public Health regula-
tions that mandate higher stan-
dards and to the sharp in-
crease in the number of emer-
gency calls made last year.

Chief of the Campus Patrol
James Olivieri told The Tech
that the Department of Public
Health regulations, which went
into effect January 1, 1975, re-
quires all providers of emer-
gency medical services to
use ambulances that meet these
higher standards. The new am-
bulance has been designed to
meet these standards.

Two amendments to the com-
mittee’s recommendations were
offered at the meeting which
would have changed the nature
of freshman Pass/No Credit or
the second term in an option avail-
able to the student, while
Melcher’s amendment would
have eliminated the second term
of Pass/No Credit entirely. Both
amendments were defeated over-
whelmingly.

"Students take subjects Pass/
fail because they want to get
them out of the way," said
Melcher, who claimed that "the
best way to insure Pass/Fail
can be achieved in five years is to
limit it to one term now."

"According to Melcher,"being
ranked is not being a liar to people.
The question is whether or not
we are going to do it."

Because the turnout at Wednes-
day’s faculty meeting was
larger and "more representa-
tive of the faculty," faculty mem-
bers argued that the question of
pass/fail grades should be re-
considered at the meeting.

By the time the meeting was
over, only a short debate by a
vote of 48 to 37.
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