The press vs. our man in Washington

On June 6, 1974, The Tech's Correspondent Peter Peckarsky '67 asked Mr. Henry A. Kissinger, who is personally well targeted in the anti-Watergate press, to present his side of the story. Kissinger, which generally felt to be partially responsible for a Kissinger resignation, was the reason we published the first part of an article discussing the question in The Tech, the remainder of the article is below.

Additionally, Osborne of The New Republic refused to write a formal résumé for his factual error in discussing the question. The managing editor of The New Republic, Mr. Frank Wilner, has promised to publish a letter correcting the record prior to a publication of any second part.

By Peter Peckarsky (Continued)

On June 16th, Henry Branson, Washington Correspondent for The Sunday Times of London, began his column as follows:

"Henry Kissinger's emotional cre- scendo last week led to his flight of resignation began at a Washington press conference a few days earlier with an infuriated press statement by a reporter for an underground paper called The Tech who not even properly accredited State Department correspond- ents.

His reporter discussed the above statements with Brandon who said that "It's a shot in the dark," as Wilson calls it. Yet Peckarsky's question did not seem at all blind. It flowed logically from the observations he cited within the body of his question. Two, Peckarsky asked Mr. Henry Kissinger off guard during a moment of personal triumph. However, Peckarsky's question seemed to have framed perfectly despite its strong in- nature. Moreover, by raising the question, Peckarsky's article on the Watergate affair has brought about a careful consideration of such questions as....."