Sheridan using group feedback
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Michel Guite, a research associ-
ate in the Department of Politi-
cal Science at the university, men-
tioned that "group feedback is a
way to increase participation. You
don't have to raise your hand in front
of everyone." Guite explained that
each meeting has a "voting machine"
that allows group members to in-
fluence the opinions of the other
members. Guite feels that "the
equipment used to monitor their
opinions. Guite feels that "the
domination with the voting de-
ing. "If he came in with loaded
manipulate the flow of the meet-
ing,' and that the moderator
little training to run the voting
boxes," and that the moderator
would find it very difficult to
manipulate the flow of the meet-
ing. "If he came in with loaded
questions, I think we would need
more training to run the voting
boxes," he added.

Another concern expressed by
some participants is the possi-
bility of manipulation of the group
by the moderator. Sheridan feels that "it is a prob-
lem," but that the real effect of the
feedback unit is the oppo-
site; to allow people to object
and change questions in the
course of the meeting. This con-
tinues feedback and participa-
tion actually makes the meeting
agenda directed "free by one man's opinion" than the con-
sciousness of the whole group.
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