Allegations, Integrity and the Tech

By Noam D. Sandler

In recent weeks, doubts have been cast on the accuracy of the newspaper and its editors, making it necessary to explain the objectives of this, as well as any wayward claims.

The primary duty of any newspaper is to report the news in a wide variety of ways. Unfortunately, too many have "written" below the line of some journalists simply to explain events as they occur.

If this were the case, reporters would have no problems getting facts for stories, since they would simply attend the event being covered, or they could use any of the vast number of government-produced press releases that editors automatically receive in the mail.

Many persons would be satisfied by such an editorial policy. Certainly "press releases" have been the backbone of many daily newspapers that since World War II have developed their own considerable circulation. However, the free exchange of ideas in calling government attention to important stories has contributed mightily to the flow of vital public information into the public mind.

The other aspect of informing the public is the duty to uncover important news stories. Many persons would be satisfied by only "Voluntary Censorship," but I'm afraid it tends to leave the public in a poor position of understanding the issues of the day.

The purpose of the editorial page, as in other newspapers, is to present views that hopefully the people working there are more intelligent than the editors, particularly as they have been called upon by the public to sift through the information and weigh its credibility on the basis of the reliability of its source. This puts them in a position of clearer judgment.

As a result, the public was unaware of the impanelment until it was reported in a media as a glaring blunder. In retrospect, a number of editors saw a coverage of CIA training operations and planning could have been prevented if the paper had in hushing up national security matters. However, the responsibility of this newspaper is to inform the people as much as possible of the events being reported.

Newsmen can not take the attitude that a story should not be released until approved by an authority or organization involved, which in the case of the Bay of Pigs, might have been the anti-path to informing the public.

Journalists realize their public responsibility to their readers. It's no secret that the media is an old standards and practices, which is the only way for journalists to maintain their integrity. However, as former US Solicitor General Archibald Cox said in 1956, a public trial, where developments are reported in the media, is in the public interest by satisfying the judgment (as it is in the public interest by satisfying the public's desire for the full story of events). However, as former US Solicitor General Archibald Cox said in 1956, a public trial, where developments are reported in the media (some-what like a public trial), is in the public interest by satisfying the judgment of the public. That is not the same as trial by press. Journalists recently have been criticized for allowing certain information to become public in order to make it of public interest. However, as former US Solicitor General Archibald Cox said in 1956, a public trial, where developments are reported in the media, is in the public interest by satisfying the judgment of the public. That is not the same as trial by press. Journalists recently have been criticized for allowing certain information to become public in order to make it of public interest. However, as former US Solicitor General Archibald Cox said in 1956, a public trial, where developments are reported in the media, is in the public interest by satisfying the judgment of the public. That is not the same as trial by press. Journalists recently have been criticized for allowing certain information to become public in order to make it of public interest. However, as former US Solicitor General Archibald Cox said in 1956, a public trial, where developments are reported in the media, is in the public interest by satisfying the judgment of the public. That is not the same as trial by press. Journalists recently have been criticized for allowing certain information to become public in order to make it of public interest. However, as former US Solicitor General Archibald Cox said in 1956, a public trial, where developments are reported in the media, is in the public interest by satisfying the judgment of the public. That is not the same as trial by press. Journalists recently have been criticized for allowing certain information to become public in order to make it of public interest. However, as former US Solicitor General Archibald Cox said in 1956, a public trial, where developments are reported in the media, is in the public interest by satisfying the judgment of the public. That is not the same as trial by press.