The abrupt cancellation of 9.60, detailed in Letters to the Editor on this page, highlights a critical issue — faculty concern for students. The listing of the subject in the catalogue and the schedules book may well have been an error; the professor who left MIT could not have been kept; and there may indeed be an equivalent course at Wellesley. The course did appear in the catalogue and the schedule book. The course was supported by the department, and it appeared on the schedule cards of the 30 people who came to the lecture hall for the first lecture.

After the traditional ten minutes, one of the people present rose to speak and called the Philosophy Department headquarters, only to discover that the course had been cancelled! The course is one of only six that can take to fulfill the prerequisite psychology requirement. One of the other courses has an insusceptible prerequisite; leave little choice for an upperclass psychology major who has not already taken the prerequisite.

The course has not been offered for several years. The Department said it wasn't offering the course because the instructor who was expected to teach it wasn't going to be at MIT this year. When did they know he wasn't going to be there?

Why didn't the department inform the people who had signed up for the course that it would not exist? Can't someone else teach it? Other course offerings, which are cancelled for lack of interest, should be examined. "May be cancelled" might be an appropriate warning to be inserted when needed.

To the Editor:
The first 9.60 lecture of the term was scheduled to take place at 5 pm on Wednesday. This did not happen. The course did not appear in the catalogue or the schedule cards of the 30 people who came to the lecture hall for the first lecture.

After the traditional ten minutes, one of the people present rose to speak and called the Philosophy Department headquarters, only to discover that the course had been cancelled! The course is one of only six that can take to fulfill the prerequisite psychology requirement. One of the other courses has an insusceptible prerequisite; leave little choice for an upperclass psychology major who has not already taken the prerequisite.

The course has not been offered for several years. The Department said it wasn't offering the course because the instructor who was expected to teach it wasn't going to be at MIT this year. When did they know he wasn't going to be there?

Why didn't the department inform the people who had signed up for the course that it would not exist? Can't someone else teach it? Other course offerings, which are cancelled for lack of interest, should be examined. "May be cancelled" might be an appropriate warning to be inserted when needed.

To the Editor:

The whole field of course offerings, including the occasional ones which are of distinctive nature, should be examined. It is amusing because I take greater service and pay her greater homage than those who do not. It is important that voluntary attending student has had no rights violated.

The whole field of course offerings, including the occasional ones which are of distinctive nature, should be examined. It is amusing because I take greater service and pay her greater homage than those who do not. It is important that voluntary attending student has had no rights violated.