When I read in the March 20 issue of The Tech about the possibility that Baker House would run for UAP, I was overjoyed. Baker House, with its idealistic drive to serve our constituents, discussed my future role as partial UAP with my friend Ralph. Ralph convinced me that Baker House should not be allowed to run for UAP in running an election for offices, some of which aren't even legally up for election, when the specious definition of a "broadly based movement" is the only criterion for legitimacy. This is the inevitable result.

My argument with Ralph, incidentally, ended in a draft. Contrariwise, he believed that Baker House had no right to as run for any group. He in turn convinced me that Baker House should not be allowed to run for UAP.